UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| TERESA CHAVEZ, | | |
|--|--|---|
| | Plaintiff(s), | CASE NO. C 09-01817 CW |
| v. THE CITY AND COUNT FRANCISCO, ET AL., | Y OF SAN | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS |
| ricalveloco, El AL., | Defendant(s). | |
| - | - | nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5: |
| The parties agree to p | articipate in the follow | ving ADR process: |
| ✓ Early ? | ses: Inding Arbitration (AL Neutral Evaluation (EN ion (ADR L.R. 6) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| appreciably more like ADR phone conferen | ly to meet their needs ce and may not file thi | tlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an is form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for tle 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5) |
| Private Proce Private | | process and provider) |
| the pre | . , | by: ne deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.) |
| ✓ other r | equested deadline Sep | tember 30, 2009 |
| Dated: 6/8/09 | | Attorney for Plaintiff Teresa Ch |
| Dated: 6/15/09 | | anning a Charles |

Dated:

Attorney for Defendant The City and County of San Francisco

cara de Aviacion, S.A.

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session 90 days from the date of this order.

✓ other September 30, 2009

| IT | TS | SO | OR | D | FR | ED |
|-----|-----|---------|-----|----|----|----------|
| 1 1 | 143 | \cdot | VII | Ŀ∕ | レル | السالسال |

6/18/09

Dated:_____

Chidealeit

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| TERESA CHAVEZ, | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | CASE NO. C 09-01817 CW | | | | |
| Plaintiff(s), | | | | | |
| v. THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, ET AL., | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS | | | | |
| Defendant(s). | | | | | |
| Counsel report that they have met and confollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 a | ferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5: | | | | |
| The parties agree to participate in the following A | DR process: | | | | |
| Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.F. ✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) | · | | | | |
| (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlemen appreciably more likely to meet their needs than a ADR phone conference and may not file this form ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16- | ny other form of ADR, must participate in an . They must instead file a Notice of Need for | | | | |
| Private Process: Private ADR (please identify proce | ss and provider) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.) | | | | | |
| ✓ other requested deadline <u>September</u> : | 30, 2009 | | | | |
| Dated: | Attorney for Plaintiff Teresa Chavez | | | | |
| Dated: | Attorney for Defendant | | | | |
| Dated: 6-15-09 | Actorney for Defendant The City and County of | | | | |

San Francisco