Association of	of Irritated Residents v. United States Environmental Pro	otection Agency et al
Association (1 2 3 4 5 6 7	IGNACIA S. MORENO Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division ROCHELLE L. RUSSELL (Cal. Bar No. 244992) Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Division Environmental Defense Section 301 Howard Street, Suite 1050 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 744-6566 Fax: (415) 744-6476 Email: rochelle.russell@usdoj.gov	
8	Counsel for Defendants	
9		
10		
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN FRANCISO	CO DIVISION
15	ASSOCIATION OF IRRITATED) Case No. 09-cv-01890 CW
16	RESIDENTS, an unincorporated association,)) STIPULATION TO DISMISS
17	Plaintiff,) WITH PREJUDICE
18	V.	AND
19	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, et al.,) ORDER THEREON
20	Defendants.)
21)
22		_
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Stipulation to Dismiss With Prejudice and Order Thereon	Case No. 09-cv-01890 CW

Pursuant to Paragraph 3 of the Consent Decree entered by the Court on December 30, 2009 in the above-captioned matter (Dkt. 20) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1), the 3 parties to this action, Plaintiff Association of Irritated Residents and Defendants United States Environmental Protection Agency et al. ("EPA" or "Agency"), hereby stipulate and agree, 4 5 through their undersigned counsel, that this action shall be dismissed with prejudice.

Paragraph 3 of the Consent Decree provides that the parties will jointly request the Court to dismiss this action with prejudice when the actions in Paragraph 1 of the Consent Decree, taken pursuant to section 110(k) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k), have been completed.

10 EPA has fulfilled the obligations set forth in Paragraph 1 of the Consent Decree, as 11 amended by the Court on March 22, 2010 (Dkt. 23), which required EPA to: (1) sign for publication in the Federal Register no later than April 12, 2010 a notice of the Agency's final action on San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District Rule 2020 and the portion of Rule 2201 (i.e., Paragraph 4.6.9) submitted to EPA for review on December 29, 2006; and (2) deliver the signed notice to the Office of the Federal Register for publication. See Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans, State of California, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, New Source Review, 75 Fed. Reg. 26,102 (May 11, 2010).

Accordingly, the parties joint request that this action be dismissed with prejudice.

2

Respectfully submitted,

IGNACIA S. MORENO Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division

/s/ Rochelle L. Russell **ROCHELLE L. RUSSELL** United States Department of Justice Environmental Defense Section 301 Howard Street, Suite 1050 San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 744-6566 rochelle.russell@usdoj.gov Attorney for Defendants

Stipulation to Dismiss With Prejudice and Order Thereon

Case No. 09-cv-01890 CW

28

1

2

6

7

8

9

FOR THE DEFENDANTS:

Dated: June 25, 2010

1	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	
2	Dated: June 25, 2010	/s/ Brent Newell (with permission) BRENT NEWELL
3		ALEGRIA DE LA CRUZ
4		Center on Race, Poverty & the Environment 47 Kearny Street, Suite 804 San Francisco, CA 94108
5		(415) 346-4179
6		bnewell@crpe-ej.org adelacruz@crpe-ej-org Attorneys for Association of Irritated Residents
7		nuomeys joi rissoeunon of irriticu residents
8	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9		
10	Dated: <u>6/28/2010</u>	CLAUDIA WILKEN
11		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21		
22		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Stipulation to Dismiss With Prejudice and Order Thereon	3 Case No. 09-cv-01890 CW

Ι