

1                                    IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2                                    FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
3

4 IN RE NCAA STUDENT-ATHLETE NAME  
5 AND LIKENESS LICENSING  
6 LITIGATION,

No. C 09-1967 CW  
No. MC 11-80300 CW  
No. MC 12-80020 CW

7  
8                                    \_\_\_\_\_/                                    ORDER SETTING  
9                                    BRIEFING SCHEDULE  
10                                    FOR ANTITRUST  
11                                    PLAINTIFFS' MOTION  
12                                    FOR RELIEF FROM  
13                                    NON-DISPOSITIVE  
14                                    PRETRIAL ORDER OF  
15                                    MAGISTRATE JUDGE

16                                    On March 8, 2012, Antitrust Plaintiffs filed a motion  
17                                    requesting relief from a non-dispositive pretrial order entered by  
18                                    a Magistrate Judge on February 27, 2012, in which the Magistrate  
19                                    Judge issued sanctions against Antitrust Plaintiffs related to  
20                                    their subpoenas requesting documents from nonparties The Big Ten  
21                                    Conference, The Big Ten Network and Fox Broadcasting Company and  
22                                    denied their motions to compel production by the nonparties.

23                                    On March 13, 2012, Fox Broadcasting Company filed an  
24                                    opposition to Antitrust Plaintiffs' motion for relief. On March  
25                                    15, 2012, Antitrust Plaintiffs filed a reply to Fox Broadcasting  
26                                    Company's opposition.

27                                    Pursuant to Local Rule 72-2, the Court hereby sets a briefing  
28                                    schedule for this motion as follows:

                                  The Big Ten Conference and The Big Ten Network may each file  
an opposition of five pages or less within five days of the date  
of this order. Antitrust Plaintiffs may file a reply, of three  
pages or less, to each opposition within three days after The Big

1 Ten Conference and The Big Ten Network have filed their  
2 oppositions.

3 The Court will decide Antitrust Plaintiffs' motion for relief  
4 on the papers.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6  
7 Dated: 3/19/2012

  
CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge

8  
9 cc: NC

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28