2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KENNETH B. WILSON (SBN 130009) kwilson@carrferrell.com CHRISTOPHER P. GREWE (SBN 245938) cgrewe@carrferrell.com CARR & FERRELL LLP 2200 Geng Road Palo Alto, California 94303 Telephone: (650) 812-3400 Facsimile: (650) 812-3444 Attorneys for Plaintiffs EXCELSTOR TECHNOLOGY, INC., EXCELSTOR TECHNOLOGY LIMITED, EXCELSTOR GROUP LIMITED, EXCELSTOR GREAT WALL TECHNOLOGY LIMITED and SHENZHEN EXCELSTOR	
9 10	TECHNOLOGY LIMITED	
11	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
12	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
13	SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION
14		
15	EXCELSTOR TECHNOLOGY, INC., a Delaware corporation; EXCELSTOR	CASE NO. CV 09-02055 PJH
16 17	TECHNOLOGY LIMITED, a Hong Kong corporation; EXCELSTOR GROUP LIMITED, a Cayman Islands corporation; EXCELSTOR GREAT WALL TECHNOLOGY LIMITED, a Cayman Islands corporation; and SHENZHEN	STIPULATION AND
18	EXCELSTOR TECHNOLOGY LIMITED, a Chinese corporation,	[P ROPOSED] ORDER RE CONTINUANCE
19	Plaintiffs,	OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
20	V.	
21	PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG, a	
22	German corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	
23	Defendants.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
{00393460v3}	-1-	

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by Plaintiffs ExcelStor Technology, Inc.,
2	ExcelStor Technology Limited, ExcelStor Group Limited, ExcelStor Great Wall Technology
3	Limited, and Shenzhen ExcelStor Technology Limited (collectively referred to as "ExcelStor") and
4	Defendant Papst Licensing GmbH & Co. KG ("Papst"), through their respective counsel and
5	pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2, 7-12 and 16-2, as follows:
6	WHEREAS, ExcelStor filed their Complaint against Papst in this action on May 12, 2009;
7	WHEREAS, the initial Case Management Conference in this case is currently scheduled for
8	August 27, 2009 at 2:30 p.m.;
9	WHEREAS, on July 17, 2009 Papst filed a Motion to Dismiss ExcelStor's Complaint
10	pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1), 12(b)(2) and 12(b)(6), and set the hearing for
11	that motion on August 26, 2009;
12	WHEREAS, on July 31, 2009, ExcelStor filed an Amended Complaint that moots certain of
13	the arguments made in Papst's original Motion to Dismiss;
14	WHEREAS, Papst has filed a Notice of Withdrawal of Motion relating to its original
15	motion, and intends to file a new Motion to Dismiss directed at the Amended Complaint;
16	WHEREAS, Papst intends to set this motion for hearing on Wednesday, October 21, 2009
17	at 9:00 a.m., which is the first Wednesday morning that both Papst's counsel and the Court are
18	available for a hearing on Papst's new motion; and
19	WHEREAS, the attorneys for Papst who will be arguing Papst's motion will be travelling
20	from Chicago for the hearing, and hope to be able to attend the hearing on Papst's Motion and the
21	initial Case Management Conference in a single trip to California.
22	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED between ExcelStor and Papst that subject to the Court's
23	approval, the Case Management Conference may be moved to October 22, 2009 at 2:30 p.m. Aside
24	from a Stipulation that extended the time for Papst to respond to the Complaint by approximately a
25	month, there have been no other previous time modifications in the case. The requested
26	continuance of the Case Management Conference would likely delay the overall schedule in this
27	case (which has not yet been established) by roughly eight weeks.

28

1	Dated: August 10, 2009 CARR & FERRELL LLP	
2	lal Kannath D. Wilson	
3	By: /s/ Kenneth B. Wilson KENNETH B. WILSON	
4	CHRISTOPHER P. GREWE Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
5	EXCELSTOR TECHNOLOGY, INC., EXCELSTOR TECHNOLOGY LIMITED,	
6	EXCELSTOR GROUP LIMITED, EXCELSTOR GREAT WALL TECHNOLOGY	
7	LIMITED and SHENZHEN EXCELSTOR TECHNOLOGY LIMITED	
8		
9	Dated: August 10, 2009 HUSCH BLACKWELL SANDERS WELSH & KATZ	
10	WEESH & IXXIE	
11	By:_/s/ Leonard Friedman	
12	LEONARD FRIEDMAN	
13	Attorneys for Defendant PAPST LICENSING GMBH & CO. KG	
14		
15	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The initial Case Management	
16	Conference in this case shall be held on October 22, 2009 at 2:30 p.m.	
17	TES DISTRICE	
18	STATES DISTRICT CO.	
19	Dated: August 11, 2009 The IT IS SO ORDERED on e	
20	Split II IS SO ORD P Ph	
21	Z. Hamilton	
22	Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton	
23		
24	DISTRICT OF CE	
25		
26		
27		
28		

SIGNATURE ATTESTATION Pursuant to General Order No. 45(X)(B), I hereby attest that I have obtained the concurrence in the filing of this document from all the signatories for whom a signature is indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this e-filed document and I have on file records to support this concurrence for subsequent production for the court if so ordered or for inspection upon request. /s/ Christopher P. Grewe Dated: August 10, 2009 CHRISTOPHER P. GREWE

{00393460v3}