DESIGNATE ADDITIONAL TERMS FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

Dockets.Justia.com

Doc. 157

Applied Signal Technology, Inc. v. Emerging Markets Communications, Inc. et al

1		
2		A
3		('
4		re
5	ŀ	C
6		
7		te
8		to
9	ŀ	e
10		tł
11		
12		tŀ
13		tŀ
14		h
15		tł
16		n
17		
18		P
19		p
20		n
21		N
22		te
23		
24		
	П	

Pursuant to Judge Armstrong's Patent Standing Order No. 4 and Civil Local Rule 7-11, Applied Signal Technology, Inc. ("AST"), Comtech EF Data Corp. ("Comtech"), ViaSat, Inc. "ViaSat"), and Paradise Datacom, LLC ("Paradise") (collectively, "the Parties") respectfully equest that the Court grant leave to designate and argue more than 10 terms for claim onstruction.

Good cause exists to allow the Parties to brief and argue the construction of more than 10 erms. At issue are five separate patents—three asserted by ViaSat and two asserted by AST. A otal of 77 claims are asserted across all five patents. Currently, the Parties dispute 13 terms. For ach disputed term, there is a genuine disagreement regarding the scope or meaning of the term hat the Parties cannot resolve.

Despite the large number of claims at issue, the Parties have worked diligently to minimize ne number of disputed terms. In the process, the Parties have met and conferred telephonically on hree different occasions and have exchanged numerous written communications. The Parties ave made an effort to group related terms and reach compromises, wherever possible. Through hese efforts, the Parties began with more than 30 terms in dispute and were able to reduce the umber to 13.

Although 13 terms exceeds the 10 allowed under Patent L.R. 4-3 and Judge Armstrong's atent Standing Order No. 3, the number of patents, asserted claims, and parties involved in the process justifies granting leave to construe an additional three terms. The Parties will continue to nake efforts to further reduce the number of disputed terms during the *Markman* briefing process. Nevertheless, the Parties jointly request that the Court grant leave to designate the following 13 erms for claim construction:

25

26

27

¹ The Court's Standing Order references Civil Rule 7-10(b), but this rule no longer exists. Instead, we are thus filing this motion pursuant to Rule 7-11.

1	AST I	Patents Patents			
2	'641 AND '104 PATENTS				
3	1.	"tracking variations/tracking	, ,		
4	2.	"signal of interest/generating	g an error signal from a signal of interest"		
5	3.	"estimating"			
6	4.	"second differences"			
7	<u>ViaSat Patents</u>				
8	'093 PATENT				
9	5.	"correction signals"			
10	'017 P	PATENT			
11	6.	"means for simultaneously tr	ransmitting" (includes claim 1 functional language)		
12	7.	"means for receiving"			
13	8.	"means for selectively gener	ating" (includes claim 1 functional language)		
14	9.	"means for combining" (incl	udes claim 1 functional language)		
15	'952 P	PATENT			
16	10	. "estimating channel characte	eristics"		
17	11. "meansfor receiving"				
18	12	. "means for transmitting"			
19	13	. "means for receiving the con	nposite signal"		
20					
21	Dated: Augus	st 24, 2010	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.		
22			By: /s/ Christopher S. Marchese		
23			Christopher S. Marchese (SBN 170239)		
24			marchese@fr.com		
25			Attorneys for Defendants, Counterclaimants and Third-Party Plaintiff ViaSat, Inc.		
26			and Paradise Datacom, LLC		
27					

1	Dated: August 24, 2010	SANDERS & PARKS P.C.
2		By: /s/ James R. Farmer
3		James R. Farmer (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) james.farmer@rocketmail.com
4		
5		Attorneys for Plaintiff and Third-Party Defendants Applied Signal Technology, Inc. and Comtech EF Data Corp.
6		Inc. and Comtech EF Data Corp.
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

DECLARATION OF CONSENT Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from James R. Farmer. Date: August 20, 2010 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. <u>/s/ Christopher S. Marchese</u> Christopher S. Marchese (SBN 170239) marchese@fr.com Attorneys for Defendants, Counterclaimants and Third-Party Plaintiff, ViaSat, Inc. and Paradise Datacom, LLC

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that the Parties request for leave to designate the 13 terms identified
3	above for claim construction is hereby DENIED .
4	
5	Dated: August 24, 2010
6	
7	By: Honorable Saundra B. Armstrong
8	Honorable Saundra B. Armstrong United States District Court Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	