1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	OAKLAND DIVISION	
9	RODNEY JAMAAR-DIONE SMITH,	Case No: C 09-2263 SBA
10		ORDER VACATING MOTION
11	Plaintiff,	HEARING
12	VS.	Dkt. 34
13	FORMER DEPUTY J. COOPER, FORMER DEPUTY Z. L. GRIFFITH, FORMER	
14	DEPUTY G. MORA, SANTA RITA COUNTY JAIL,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, who is currently incarcerated at Santa Rita Jail, filed the instant action	
	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 10, 2010, the Court ordered service of the	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November	
18 19	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November Complaint and directed Defendants to file a m	10, 2010, the Court ordered service of the
		10, 2010, the Court ordered service of the option for summary judgment. Dkt. 8.
19	Complaint and directed Defendants to file a m	10, 2010, the Court ordered service of the action for summary judgment. Dkt. 8. The Court and the motion is now fully briefed.
19 20	Complaint and directed Defendants to file a m Defendants filed their motion as directed by th	10, 2010, the Court ordered service of the otion for summary judgment. Dkt. 8. he Court and the motion is now fully briefed. uitable for resolution without oral argument.
19 20 21	Complaint and directed Defendants to file a m Defendants filed their motion as directed by th The Court, in its discretion, finds this matter st Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b); N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 7-1(10, 2010, the Court ordered service of the otion for summary judgment. Dkt. 8. he Court and the motion is now fully briefed. uitable for resolution without oral argument.
19 20 21 22	Complaint and directed Defendants to file a m Defendants filed their motion as directed by th The Court, in its discretion, finds this matter st Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b); N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 7-1(10, 2010, the Court ordered service of the action for summary judgment. Dkt. 8. and the motion is now fully briefed. auitable for resolution without oral argument. (b). Accordingly, bearing on Defendants' motion for summary
19 20 21 22 23	Complaint and directed Defendants to file a m Defendants filed their motion as directed by th The Court, in its discretion, finds this matter st Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b); N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 7-1(IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the	 10, 2010, the Court ordered service of the action for summary judgment. Dkt. 8. and the motion is now fully briefed. auitable for resolution without oral argument. (b). Accordingly, bearing on Defendants' motion for summary
 19 20 21 22 23 24 	Complaint and directed Defendants to file a m Defendants filed their motion as directed by th The Court, in its discretion, finds this matter st Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b); N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 7-1(IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the judgment scheduled for December 6, 2011 is V	 10, 2010, the Court ordered service of the action for summary judgment. Dkt. 8. and the motion is now fully briefed. auitable for resolution without oral argument. (b). Accordingly, bearing on Defendants' motion for summary
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Complaint and directed Defendants to file a m Defendants filed their motion as directed by th The Court, in its discretion, finds this matter so Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b); N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 7-1(IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the judgment scheduled for December 6, 2011 is v motion in a separate order.	10, 2010, the Court ordered service of the notion for summary judgment. Dkt. 8. The Court and the motion is now fully briefed. uitable for resolution without oral argument. (b). Accordingly, hearing on Defendants' motion for summary WACATED. The Court will resolve the
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	Complaint and directed Defendants to file a m Defendants filed their motion as directed by th The Court, in its discretion, finds this matter st Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b); N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 7-1(IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the judgment scheduled for December 6, 2011 is v motion in a separate order. IT IS SO ORDERED.	 10, 2010, the Court ordered service of the action for summary judgment. Dkt. 8. and the motion is now fully briefed. auitable for resolution without oral argument. (b). Accordingly, bearing on Defendants' motion for summary

1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
4	RODNEY JAMAAR DIONE SMITH,		
5	Plaintiff,		
6	v.		
7	DEPUTY J COOPER et al,		
8	Defendant.		
9			
10	Case Number: CV09-02263 SBA		
11	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
12	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District		
13	Court, Northern District of California.		
14	That on November 30, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by		
15	said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.		
16			
17			
18	Rodney Jamaar-Dione Smith BCD323		
19	Alameda County Jail - Santa Rita 5325 Broder Blvd.		
20	Dublin, CA 94568		
21	Dated: November 30, 2011 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk		
22	By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	- 2 -		