| Baptista v. C | Clark |
|---------------|-------|
|---------------|-------|

| 1  |   |   |  |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2  |   | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |  |
| 3  | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |   |  |
| 4  | OAKLAND DIVISION  |   |  |
| 5  | 5   |   |  |
| 6  | JOEL JOAQUINE BAPTISTA,   | Case No: C 09-2406 SBA (PR)   |  |
| 7  |   | Related to:<br>C 09-4382 SBA (PR)   |  |
| 8  | VS.   | ORDER   |  |
| 9  |   | UKDEK   |  |
| 10 | Respondents.  |   |  |
| 11 |   |   |  |
| 12 | Petitioner Joel Joaquine Baptista was convicted in California state court on January        |   |  |
| 13 | 4, 1996, of lewd and lascivious acts on a child under the age of 14 and sexual abuse of a   |   |  |
| 14 | child under the age of 14. He currently is serving his sentence in state prison. Petitioner |   |  |
| 15 | filed a pro se habeas petition in this Court on May 29, 2009. On August 27, 2009, the       |   |  |
| 16 | Court issued an Order to Show Cause directing R   | espondents to answer the petition or file a   |  |
| 17 | dispositive motion. On December 3, 2009, Respo  | ondents filed a motion to dismiss on the  |  |
| 18 | ground that the petition is untimely under 28 U.S.  | ground that the petition is untimely under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1)(A). Under the Court's |  |
| 19 | scheduling order, Petitioner had sixty days to prej   | pare and file his response to the motion to   |  |
| 20 | dismiss. However, to date, Petitioner has not resp  | oonded to the motion.   |  |
| 21 | In the meantime, on September 17, 2009, I   | Petitioner, through counsel, filed a  |  |
| 22 | separate habeas petition challenging his 1996 conviction and sentence. See Baptista v.      |   |  |
| 23 | Clark, C 09-4382 SBA. The case was assigned to the Honorable James Ware. On                 |   |  |
| 24 | February 24, 2010, Respondents filed a motion to  | dismiss the second petition, which  |  |

**26** instant action. On May 3, 2010, Petitioner's counsel filed an opposition to the motion to

27 dismiss in C 09-4382 SBA. On June 2, 2010, the Court related the second case to the first.

appears to make a number of the same arguments presented in their motion filed in the

**28** Respondents filed their reply on June 15, 2010.

25

| 1        | At this juncture, the Court has before it two separate habeas petitions challenging the        |  |  |  |
|----------|--|--|--|--|
| 2        | same conviction, along with two pending motions to dismiss, both of which are predicated       |  |  |  |
| 3        | the question of timeliness. Since Respondent's motion to dismiss in C 09-4382 SBA has          |  |  |  |
| 4        | been fully briefed by counsel on both sides, the Court, in its discretion will adjudicate that |  |  |  |
| 5        | motion first. Since the Court's ruling on the motion may impact the disposition of the         |  |  |  |
| 6        | motion to dismiss in C 09-2406 SBA, the Court will deny the latter motion without              |  |  |  |
| 7        | prejudice to renewal upon the Court's ruling on the fully briefed motion to dismiss.           |  |  |  |
| 8        | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:   |  |  |  |
| 9        | 1. Respondents' Motion to Dismiss (Docket 4 in C 09-2406 SBA) is DENIED                        |  |  |  |
| 10       | without prejudice.   |  |  |  |
| 11       | 2. Within thirty days of the Court's ruling on the motion to dismiss filed in                  |  |  |  |
| 12       | C 09-4382 SBA, Respondents may either file an answer or renew their motion to dismiss.         |  |  |  |
| 13       | Until such time, the instant action shall be held in abeyance.                                 |  |  |  |
| 14       | 3. This Order terminates Docket 4 in C 09-2406 SBA.  |  |  |  |
| 15       | IT IS SO ORDERED.  |  |  |  |
| 16       | Dated: September 1, 2010   |  |  |  |
| 17       | United States District Judge   |  |  |  |
| 18       |  |  |  |  |
| 19       |  |  |  |  |
| 20       |  |  |  |  |
| 21       |  |  |  |  |
| 22       |  |  |  |  |
| 23       |  |  |  |  |
| 24       |  |  |  |  |
| 25<br>26 |  |  |  |  |
| 20<br>27 |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28 |  |  |  |  |
| -0       |  |  |  |  |
|          | -2-  |  |  |  |
|          |  |  |  |  |

| 1  |   |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 2  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |  |  |  |
| 3  | FOR THE<br>NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |  |  |  |
| 4  |   |  |  |  |
| 5  | JOEL JOAQUINE BAPTISTA,   |  |  |  |
| 6  | Plaintiff,  |  |  |  |
| 7  | v.  |  |  |  |
| 8  | KEN CLARK et al,  |  |  |  |
| 9  | Defendant.  |  |  |  |
| 10 |   |  |  |  |
| 11 | Case Number: CV09-02406 SBA   |  |  |  |
| 12 | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE  |  |  |  |
| 13 | I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Court, Northern District of California.   |  |  |  |
| 15 | That on September 15, 2010, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by |  |  |  |
| 16 | depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery  |  |  |  |
| 17 |   |  |  |  |
| 18 |   |  |  |  |
| 19 | Joel Joaquine Baptista J-91603<br>Corcoran State Prison   |  |  |  |
| 20 | P.O. Box 5248<br>Corcoran, CA 93212   |  |  |  |
| 21 |   |  |  |  |
| 22 | Dated: September 15, 2010<br>Richard W. Wieking, Clerk  |  |  |  |
| 23 | By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk  |  |  |  |
| 24 |   |  |  |  |
| 25 |   |  |  |  |
| 26 |   |  |  |  |
| 27 |   |  |  |  |
| 28 |   |  |  |  |
|    | - 3 -   |  |  |  |
|    |   |  |  |  |