UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROB DELSMAN	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. CV-09-02414 SI	3A
v.			
GENERAL ELE COMPANY	CTRIC Defendant(s)/	STIPULATION AND [PRE ORDER SELECTING ADE	
	eport that they have met and co	onferred regarding ADR and hav 3 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	e reached the
The parties agree	to participate in the following	ADR process:	
Ea	ocesses: on-binding Arbitration (ADR I orly Neutral Evaluation (ENE) ediation (ADR L.R. 6)		
appreciably more ADR phone confe	e likely to meet their needs than	ent conference with a Magistrat n any other form of ADR, must p m. They must instead file a Noti 6-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	articipate in an
Private I	Process: rivate ADR (<i>please identify pro</i>	ocess and provider)	
th 🖂	• •	eadline is 90 days from the date ocess unless otherwise ordered.)	-
ot ot	her requested deadline	;	
Dated: August 19	, 2009	/S/ Abraham N. G Attorney for Plainti ROB DELSMAN	
Dated: August 19	9, 2009	/S/ Constance E. I Attorney for Defend GENERAL ELECT COMPANY	dant
I hereby attest th document.	at the content of this document	is acceptable all persons requir	ed to sign this
Dated: August 1	9, 2009	/S/ Constance E. Norton • Attorney for Defendant C , Company	

[PROPOSED] ORDER

	Pursua	ant to the Supulation above, the capilo	ned matter is hereby referred to:
		Non-binding Arbitration	
		Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)	
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Mediation	
		Private ADR	•
			.*
Deadline for ADR session		ine for ADR session	•
	\boxtimes	90 days from the date of this order.	•
		other	•
IT IS SO ORDERED.		DERED.	
			1
			Saundra B. Armstrong
Dated: 8/20/09			· Datument
			UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Firmwide:91041230.1 059100.1005