1	Gregory L. Curtner (pro hac vice)		
2	Robert J. Wierenga (SBN183687) Kimberly K. Kefalas (pro hac vice)		
3	Atleen Kaur (pro hac vice)		
4	Suzanne L. Wahl (pro hac vice) MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STO	NE, P.L.C.	
5	101 North Main St., 7 <sup>th</sup> Floor Ann Arbor, MI 48104		
6	Telephone: (734) 663-2445		
7	Facsimile: (734) 663-8624 Email: curtner@millercanfield.com		
8	wierenga@millercanfield.com kefalas@millercanfield.com		
9	kaur@millercanfield.com wahl@millercanfield.com		
10			
11	Jason A. Geller (SBN168149) Glen R. Olson (SBN111914)		
12	David Borovsky (SBN 216588) LONG & LEVIT LLP		
13	465 California Street, 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor		
14	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 397-2222		
15	Facsimile: (415) 397-6392 Email: jgeller@longlevit.com		
	golson@longlevit.com		
16	dborovsky@longlevit.com		
17	Attorneys for Defendant National Collegiate Athletic Association		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION		
20	EDWARD C. O'BANNON, JR., on behalf of	Case No. 09-cv-3329 CW	
21	himself and all others similarly situated,	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT	
22	Plaintiff,	STATEMENT	
23	V.	Date: November 17, 2009	
24	NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC	Time: 2:00 p.m. Dept: Courtroom 2, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
25	ASSOCIATION (a/k/a the "NCAA"), and COLLEGIATE LICENSING COMPANY,	Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken	
26	(a/k/a "CLC").  Defendants.	Date Comp. Filed: July 21, 2009	
27			
28	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT Case No. 09-cv-3329-CW		

The undersigned parties met and conferred in advance of the November 17, 2009 Case

Management Conference. In light of the pending motions to consolidate, dismiss, strike, and
appoint interim lead counsel, as well as Plaintiff's indication that, depending on the outcome of
the motion to consolidate, he may be filing an amended complaint, the parties agreed that it was
premature to discuss discovery, initial disclosures and related matters at this time. However,
Plaintiffs O'Bannon and Keller are currently coordinating on drafting a proposed schedule of
discovery, initial disclosures and related matters for submission to Defendants, if their cases are
consolidated. Therefore, the parties hereby submit this Case Management Conference statement,
which reflects the early stage of these proceedings.

- 1. <u>Jurisdiction and Venue</u>. The Court has diversity jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) and (d) because the amount in controversy for the purported class exceeds \$5,000,000. There are no issues regarding personal jurisdiction and no parties remain to be served. Defendant NCAA and CLC have moved to transfer venue to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404.
- 2. Facts. Plaintiff is a former college basketball player. He alleges, on behalf of himself and a class of other former college football and basketball players, that Defendants have unlawfully conspired with various third parties to fix the price paid to Plaintiff for the use of his name, likeness or image and to boycott him to deprive him of compensation for such use. Based on these allegations, Plaintiff asserts causes of action under the federal antitrust laws, Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, and under state common law for unjust enrichment and an accounting. Defendants deny that they have fixed prices paid to Plaintiff or that they have undertaken any actions to deny him compensation for the use of his name, likeness, or image. Defendants further deny that they, individually or together, have violated the federal antitrust

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

Case No. 09-cv-3329-CW

laws, including Section 1 of the Sherman Act, or that they have been unjustly enriched or that Plaintiff is entitled to an accounting.

- 3. <u>Legal Issues</u>. The principal legal issues are set forth Plaintiff's complaint and in detail in Defendants' motions to dismiss and Plaintiff's oppositions thereto.
- 4. <u>Motions</u>. Defendants each filed separate motions to dismiss, which are pending. Defendants also have jointly filed a Motion to Transfer Venue, which is also pending.

Plaintiff has filed a motion to consolidate this action with the *Keller* matter, which motion is pending.

In addition, Plaintiff's counsel has filed a motion to be appointed interim co-lead counsel, which motion is pending.

Should the Court not grant their motions to dismiss, both parties anticipate filing motions for summary judgment.

In addition, if the Court does not grant the motions to dismiss, the Court will hear motions with respect to the certification of the proposed class.

- 5. <u>Amendment of Pleadings</u>. After the Court rules on his motion to consolidate this action with the *Keller*, Plaintiff anticipates filing an amended complaint. No other amendment of pleadings is anticipated at this time.
- 6. Evidence Preservation. The parties will discuss the issue further during the Rule 26 conference on discovery matters after resolution of the pending motions and pleadings.

  Plaintiff believes that the Court should order the parties to conduct a Rule 26 conference within 30 days of the filing of an amended consolidated complaint or the denial of Plaintiff's motion to consolidate.

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

Case No. 09-cv-3329-CW

- 7. <u>Disclosures</u>. As described above, in light of the unsettled nature of the pleadings and the pending motions to consolidate, dismiss, strike, and appoint interim lead counsel, the parties believe it is premature to exchange initial disclosures at this stage. Defendants specifically object to providing initial disclosures at this time. Plaintiff believes that initial disclosures should be exchanged within fourteen days of the Rule 26 conference discussed in paragraph 6.
- 8. <u>Discovery</u>. As described above, in light of the unsettled nature of the pleadings and the pending motions to consolidate, dismiss, strike, and appoint interim lead counsel, the parties believe it is premature to discuss a discovery plan at this stage.
- 9. <u>Class Actions</u>. In light of the unsettled nature of the pleadings and the pending motions to consolidate, dismiss, strike, and appoint interim lead counsel, the parties believe it is premature to discuss proposal for how and when to address class certification.
- 10. <u>Related Cases</u>. Several cases have been filed that are related to this case, including the following that have been filed in this Court: *Newsome v. NCAA et al.*, 09-cv-4883.

In addition, the following cases are pending in federal district court in and also relate to the alleged fixing of prices paid to and boycotting of former NCAA basketball and football: Wimprine v. NCAA et al., United States District Court for the Northern District of California, 09-cv-5134; Anderson v. NCAA et al., United States District Court for the Northern District of California, 09-cv-5100; Nuckles v. NCAA et al., United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee, Case No. 09-cv-0235, 09-cv-0236.

11. Relief. Plaintiff seeks the relief requested in its Prayer for Relief (A – I) in his complaint. Plaintiff believes that if the *O'Bannon* and *Keller* cases are consolidated, an amended complaint will be filed and this requested relief will change.

Case No. 09-cv-3329-CW

- 12. <u>Settlement and ADR</u>: In light of the unsettled nature of the pleadings and the pending motions to consolidate, dismiss, strike, and appoint interim lead counsel, the parties believe it is premature to discuss the prospects for settlement at this stage. But the parties are open, at an appropriate later date, to all reasonable mechanisms the Court believes will help facilitate a timely resolution of this case.
- 13. <u>Consent to Magistrate Judge for All Purposes</u>. All parties do not consent to the use of a magistrate judge to conduct all further proceedings.
- 14. Other References: In light of the unsettled nature of the pleadings and the pending motions to consolidate, dismiss, strike, and appoint interim lead counsel, the parties believe it is premature to discuss the prospects for reference to arbitration, a special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.
- 15. <u>Narrowing of Issues</u>: In light of the unsettled nature of the pleadings and the pending motions to consolidate, dismiss, strike, and appoint interim lead counsel, the parties believe it is premature to discuss the prospects narrowing of issues.
- 16. Expedited Schedule: Defendants do not believe that this is the type of case that could be handled on an expedited basis. Plaintiff, however, believes that once a consolidated amended complaint is filed, the parties could agree to a schedule that allows trial to begin in early 2011.
- 17. <u>Scheduling</u>: In light of the unsettled nature of the pleadings and the pending motions to consolidate, dismiss, strike, and appoint interim lead counsel, the parties believe it is premature to discuss scheduling for the designation of experts, discovery, hearing of dispositive motions, pretrial conference, and trial. Plaintiff, however, believes that these issues can be

Case No. 09-cv-3329-CW

US2008 966388.1

1			
2	Megan Iones (pro hac vice)		
3	A., C. E.1. 1.C. O.D.	annon, Jr.	
4	4		
5	5		
6	6		
7	7		
8	8		
9	9		
10	10		
11	11		
12	12		
13	13		
14	14		
15	15		
16	16		
17	17		
18	18		
19	19		
20	20		
21	21		
22	22		
23	23		
24	24		
25	25		
26	26		
27	27		
28	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT Case No. 09-cv-3329-CW		
	US2008 966388.1		

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that on November 10, 2009, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification to the e-mail addresses registered. By: /s/ Jason A. Geller Jason A. Geller (SBN168149) LONG & LEVIT LLP Attorneys for Defendant NCAA DOCS\S0256-106\571081.V2 JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT Case No. 09-cv-3329-CW

US2008 966388.1