1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Michael D. Hausfeld (pro hac vice) Hilary K. Scherrer (Cal. Bar No. 209451) Sathya S. Gosselin (Cal. Bar. No. 269171) HAUSFELD LLP 1700 K Street, NW, Suite 650 Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 540-7200 Facsimile: (202) 540-7201 E-mail: mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com hscherrer@hausfeldllp.com sgosselin@hausfeldllp.com	Arthur N. B HAUSFELI 44 Montgor San Francis Telephone: Facsimile: (Email: mlel	Lehmann (Cal. Bar No. 77152) Bailey, Jr. (Cal. Bar No. 248460) D LLP mery St., 34th Floor co, CA 94104 (415) 633-1908 415) 358-4980 mmann@hausfeldllp.com ley@hausfeldllp.com	
8				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	NORTHERN DIST	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAMUAL KELLER et al.,	Case No. 09-c	v-1967 CW	
13	Plaintiffs,			
14	v.		ION OF MICHAELD D.	
15	NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC	MOTION FO	IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	
16	ASSOCIATION; COLLEGIATE LICENSING COMPANY; and	OF CLASS A	CTION SETTLEMENT	
17	ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,	Judge: Date:	Honorable Claudia Wilken July 3, 2014	
18	Defendants.	Time:	2:00 p.m. Fourth Floor, No. 2	
19	EDWARD O'BANNON, et al.,	Case No. 09-c	,	
20	Plaintiffs,			
21 22	V.			
23				
24	NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION; COLLEGIATE			
25	LICENSING COMPANY; and ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,			
26	Defendants.			
27				
28				
			TION OF MICHAEL D. HAUSFELD IN SUPPORT OF IFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTI FMENT	

I, Michael D. Hausfeld, Esquire, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a partner in the law firm of Hausfeld LLP. The Court has appointed my firm as Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel with Principal Responsibility for the Antitrust Claims ("Counsel for the Antitrust Plaintiffs") in this case. I am submitting this Declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. I have personal knowledge of the information set forth in this Declaration.
- 2. Counsel for the Antitrust Plaintiffs, the Keller Right of Publicity Plaintiffs, Electronic Arts Inc. ("EA") and Collegiate Licensing Company ("CLC") first engaged in settlement discussions in November 2011 before retired Judge Edward Infante. Those discussions did not lead to a resolution.
- 3. Counsel for the Antitrust Plaintiffs, the Keller Right of Publicity Plaintiffs, and EA agreed to engage in settlement discussions again in the fall of 2013, this time including then-counsel for the Hart Right of Publicity Plaintiffs. The parties participated in mediation before Randy Wulff ("Wulff") of Wulff Quinby Sochynsky, a dispute resolution firm, on September 10, 2013. The basic parameters of the settlement were agreed upon at that session, and the parties proceeded to draft a term sheet, which was executed on September 26, 2013. The parties then began negotiating a long-form settlement agreement.
- 4. In or around October 2013, Hart replaced his counsel and the substitute counsel, Lum, Drasco & Positan, LLC ("LDP") and McKenna Law Firm LLC ("McKenna"), undertook an independent review of the term sheet and draft long-form settlement agreement. Hart's counsel ultimately consented to the then-agreed to terms.
- 5. Over the course of the next several months, counsel for the parties participated in numerous arm's-length and hard-fought, telephonic negotiating sessions and exchanged drafts and redlines of the long-form settlement agreement

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

- 6. By April 2014, issues remained about how to allocate the proposed settlement fund, which were resolved in a multiple sessions with Wulff held in April 2014. Thereafter, the parties continued to negotiate the final details of the long-form settlement agreement and its respective exhibits. The settlement agreement was executed on May 15, 2014.
- 7. The settlement discussions and negotiations were always at arm's length, non-collusive, and in good faith. All parties vigorously negotiated their respective positions on all material terms of the Settlement Agreement. Plaintiffs' counsel zealously advanced their respective positions and were fully prepared to continue to litigate rather than to accept a settlement that was not in the best interests of the Class.
- 8. In connection with these settlement negotiations, Counsel for the Antitrust Plaintiffs were informed of the facts concerning liability and damages issues and the relative strengths and weaknesses of each party's litigation position. We analyzed and evaluated many contested legal and factual issues posed by the litigation so that adequate demands and accurate evaluation of EA's positions could be made.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 30th day of May, 2014 at Washington, DC.

Michael D. Hausfeld

aux (el