

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

In re ACCURAY INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION)	Master File No. 4:09-cv-03362-CW
_____)	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
This Document Relates To:)	PROPOSED ORDER APPROVING PLAN
ALL ACTIONS.)	OF ALLOCATION OF SETTLEMENT
_____)	PROCEEDS
		DATE: September 1, 2011
		TIME: 2:00 p.m.
		CTRM: The Honorable Claudia Wilken

1 THIS MATTER having come before the Court on Lead Plaintiffs' application for approval of
2 the Plan of Allocation of the net settlement proceeds in the above-captioned action; the Court having
3 considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the
4 premises;

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

6 1. For purposes of this Order, the terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set
7 forth in the Stipulation of Settlement, dated as of April 27, 2011 (the "Stipulation").

8 2. Pursuant to and in full compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil
9 Procedure, this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to all
10 Persons and entities who are Settlement Class Members advising them of the Plan of Allocation and
11 of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair opportunity was accorded to all Persons and entities
12 who are Settlement Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation.
13

14 3. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the
15 claims of Authorized Claimants which is set forth in the Notice of Pendency and Proposed
16 Settlement of Class Action (the "Notice") sent to Settlement Class Members, provides a fair and
17 reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund established by the
18 Stipulation among Settlement Class Members, with due consideration having been given to
19 administrative convenience and necessity.
20

21 4. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation set forth in the
22 Notice is in all respects fair and reasonable and the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 DATED: 12/8/2011



THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1 Submitted by:

2 ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
3 & DOWD LLP
4 SHAWN A. WILLIAMS
5 DANIEL J. PFEFFERBAUM
6 Post Montgomery Center
7 One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800
8 San Francisco, CA 94104
9 Telephone: 415/288-4545
10 415/288-4534 (fax)

11 ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
12 & DOWD LLP
13 JOY ANN BULL

14
15 s/ Jov Ann Bull
16 JOY ANN BULL

17 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
18 San Diego, CA 92101
19 Telephone: 619/231-1058
20 619/231-7423 (fax)

21 LABATON SUCHAROW LLP
22 CHRISTOPHER J. KELLER
23 JONATHAN GARDNER
24 MARK GOLDMAN
25 CAROL C. VILLEGAS
26 140 Broadway
27 New York, NY 10005
28 Telephone: 212/907-0700
212/818-0477 (fax)

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs