22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. C 09-03450 SBA (PR) GEORGE NOAH BRAGGS, 12 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR Petitioner, APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL 13 v. 14 JAMES A. WALKER, Warden, 15 Respondent. 16 Petitioner has filed a motion for appointment of counsel in this action. 17 18 The Sixth Amendment right to counsel does not apply in habeas corpus actions. See 19 Knaubert v. Goldsmith, 791 F.2d 722, 728 (9th Cir. 1986). Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B), 20 21

Knaubert v. Goldsmith, 791 F.2d 722, 728 (9th Cir. 1986). Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B), however, authorizes a district court to appoint counsel to represent a habeas petitioner whenever "the court determines that the interests of justice so require" and such person is financially unable to obtain representation. The decision to appoint counsel is within the discretion of the district court. See Chaney v. Lewis, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986); Knaubert, 791 F.2d at 728; Bashor v. Risley, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir. 1984). The courts have made appointment of counsel the exception rather than the rule by limiting it to: (1) capital cases; (2) cases that turn on substantial and complex procedural, legal or mixed legal and factual questions; (3) cases involving uneducated or mentally or physically impaired petitioners; (4) cases likely to require the assistance of experts either in framing or in trying the claims; (5) cases in which petitioner is in no position to investigate crucial

facts; and (6) factually complex cases. See generally 1 J. Liebman & R. Hertz, Federal Habeas Corpus Practice and Procedure § 12.3b at 383-86 (2d ed. 1994). Appointment is mandatory only when the circumstances of a particular case indicate that appointed counsel is necessary to prevent due process violations. See Chaney, 801 F.2d at 1196; Eskridge v. Rhay, 345 F.2d 778, 782 (9th Cir. 1965). At this time, the Court is unable to determine whether the appointment of counsel is mandated for Petitioner. Accordingly, the interests of justice do not require appointment of counsel, and Petitioner's request is DENIED. This denial is without prejudice to the Court's sua sponte reconsideration should the Court find an evidentiary hearing necessary following consideration of the merits of Petitioner's claims. This Order terminates Docket no. 18. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 9/28/10 UNITED STATES DISTRIC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	
4	
5	GEORGE BRAGGS,
6	Case Number: CV09-03450 SBA Plaintiff,
7	V. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
8	JAMES A. WALKER et al,
9	Defendant.
10	
11	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.
12	That on September 29, 2010, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing
13	said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office
14	delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.
15	
16	C N 1 D F40705
17	George Noah Braggs F49725 California State Prison - Sacramento
18	P.O. Box 290066 Represa, CA 95671-0066
19	Dated: September 29, 2010 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
20	By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	