

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC
REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.

CASE NO. 09-03600 SBA

Plaintiff(s),

v.

JOHN BROSNAN et al.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Defendant(s).
_____ /

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)

✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

Private ADR (please identify process and provider) _____

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

✓ the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)*

other requested deadline _____

Dated: 10/28/2009 _____



Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: _____

Attorney for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIAMORTGAGE ELECTRONIC
REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.

CASE NO. 09-03600 SBA

Plaintiff(s),

v.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

JOHN BROSNAN et al.

Defendant(s).
_____ /

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)

✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) *at no cost to defendant*

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

Private ADR (please identify process and provider) _____

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

✓ the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)

other requested deadline _____

Dated: _____

Dated: 10/28/2009 _____

Attorney for Plaintiff

Duane L. Tucker
Attorney for Defendant
Mortgage Electronic Registration
Systems, Inc. (a CA corp.)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC
REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.

CASE NO. 09-03600 SBA

Plaintiff(s),

v.
JOHN BROSNAN et al.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Defendant(s).
_____ /

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

- Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
- ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

Private ADR (please identify process and provider) _____

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- ✓ the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)*

other requested deadline _____

Dated: _____

Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: 10/28/2009 _____

~~Attorney for Defendant~~
John Brosnan

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC
REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.

CASE NO. 09-03600 SBA

Plaintiff(s),

v.

JOHN BROSANAN et al.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Defendant(s).
_____ /

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)

✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

Private ADR (please identify process and provider) _____

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

✓ the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)*

other requested deadline _____

Dated: 10/28/09

Dated: 10/28/2009


Attorney for Plaintiff

Pro se

~~Attorney for Defendant~~

Robert Jacobsen

MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC
REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., a
Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JOHN BROSNAN, MORTGAGE
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION
SYSTEMS, INC., a California corporation,
and ROBERT JACOBSEN

Defendants.

Case No. C 09-3600 SBA

ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

_____ Non-binding Arbitration

_____ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

___x___ Mediation

_____ Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session:

___x___ 90 days from the date of this Order

_____ Other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/19/09



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC
REGISTRATION SYSTEMS INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN BROSNAN et al,

Defendant.

Case Number: CV09-03600 SBA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on November 20, 2009, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

John Brosnan
848 North Rainbow Boulevard
#1643
Las Vegas, NV 89107

Robert Edwin Jacobsen
P.O. Box 1386
Lafayette, CA 94549

Dated: November 20, 2009

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk