

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CARRIE GOFRON, J. WILLIAM VANKE,
ASHWINI KUMAR, MATTHEW MIXON and
DULCE GONZALEZ,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PICSEL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; PICSEL
HOLDINGS LIMITED, formerly known as
HAMSARD LIMITED; PICSEL GROUP
HOLDINGS LIMITED; MASOOD JABBAR; and
IMRAN KHAND,

Defendants.

_____ /

No. C 09-04041 CW

ORDER CONCERNING
PLAINTIFFS'
OPPOSITIONS TO
DEFENDANT PICSEL
HOLDINGS LIMITED'S
12(B)(2) MOTION TO
DISMISS, DEFENDANT
PICSEL GROUP
HOLDINGS LIMITED'S
12(B)(2) MOTION TO
DISMISS, AND
DEFENDANTS MASOOD
JABBAR AND IMRAN
KHAND'S MOTION FOR
PARTIAL SUMMARY
JUDGMENT

Defendants Picstel Holdings Limited and Picstel Group Holdings Limited have filed separate motions to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. Defendants Masood Jabbar and Imran Khand have moved for partial summary judgment. These motions were set for hearing on June 2, 2011. Under Civil L.R. 7-3(a), Plaintiffs Carrie Gofron, et al.'s oppositions to these motions were due May 12, 2011. No opposition was received.

Plaintiffs' oppositions to these motions shall be due May 19, 2011. If oppositions are filed, Defendants' replies in support of their respective motions shall be due seven days thereafter. The hearing on the motions and the further case management conference set for June 2, 2011 are continued to June 23, 2011 at 2:00 p.m.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Plaintiffs' failure to comply with this Order will result in the dismissal of their claims against Picssel Holdings Limited and Picssel Group Holdings Limited and may result in the entry of partial summary judgment in favor of Jabbar and Khand on the claims at issue in their motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 14, 2011



CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge