

1 REBECCA R. WEINREICH, SB# 155684
 E-Mail: weinreich@lbbslaw.com
 2 STEPHEN V. KOVARIK, SB# 184656
 E-Mail: kovarik@lbbslaw.com
 3 **LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP**
 221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200
 4 Los Angeles, California 90012
 Telephone: 213.250.1800
 5 Facsimile: 213.250.7900

6 Attorneys for Defendant
 National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa. and
 7 Insurance Company of the State of Pennsylvania

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9
 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION

11 SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY LLC, a
 12 Delaware corporation, SEAGATE
 TECHNOLOGY INTERNATIONAL, a
 13 Cayman Islands Company; SEAGATE
 SINGAPORE INTERNATIONAL
 14 HEADQUARTERS PTE LTD, a Singapore
 corporation,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE
 18 COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA, a
 Pennsylvania corporation; INSURANCE
 19 COMPANY OF THE STATE OF
 PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania
 20 Corporation.

21 Defendants.

CASE NO. CV- 09-4120-CW

STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER
 CHANGING PAGE LIMIT FOR SUMMARY
 JUDGMENT MOTIONS PURSUANT TO
 CIVIL L.R. 7-12; [~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER

Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken
 Courtroom: 2

Complaint Filed: September 4, 2009

22
 23
 24 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-12, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, submit the
 25 following stipulation and request an order adjusting the page limits on cross-motions for summary
 26 judgment.

27 WHEREAS, the Court filed a Minute Order and Case Management Order on December
 28 18, 2009, which provided under Additional Matters, in part:

1 Defendant to file dispositive motion by 8/12/10; Plaintiff's
2 opposition and any cross motion (contained within a single brief and
3 limited to 25 pages) due two weeks later; Defendant's reply
4 /opposition to cross motion (contained within a single brief and
5 limited to 25 pages) due one week later; Plaintiff's reply to cross
6 motion due one week thereafter. A Further Case Management
7 Conference will be held on 9/23/10 at 2:00 p.m., whether or not
8 dispositive motions are filed (or on whatever date dispositive
9 motions are set). FCMC statement due one week prior to
10 conference.

11 WHEREAS, on August 2, 2010, the Court granted the parties' request for an order change
12 time that, among other things, set the following schedule for hearing dispositive motions:

- 13 11/18/10: Defendants to file case-dispositive motion
- 14 12/09/10: Plaintiff to file opposition to case-dispositive motion and any cross-
15 motion
- 16 12/16/10: Defendants to file reply/opposition to cross-motion
- 17 12/23/10: Plaintiff to file reply in support of cross-motion
- 18 01/13/11 at 2:00 p.m.: Hearing of all case-dispositive motions and further case
19 management conference
- 20 03/29/11 at 2:00 p.m.: Final pre-trial conference (UNCHANGED)
- 21 04/11/11 at 8:30 a.m.: 10-day jury trial to begin (UNCHANGED)

22 WHEREAS, the parties have now completed fact discovery and exchanged expert reports.
23 Based on their understanding of the record, the parties believe and agree that additional pages are
24 required in moving and opposition briefs in order to accommodate the filing of a joint motion by
25 both defendants, fully address the facts of the three underlying proceedings (which consisted of
26 two actions in Singapore, three arbitration proceedings, a California state court action, and the
27 counterclaims brought in those matters), and defendant insurers' handling of Plaintiffs' claims for
28 insurance coverage regarding each of those proceedings.

1 WHEREAS, the parties request that the page limit be increased from 25 pages to 35 pages
2 for opening and opposition brief and from 15 to 25 pages for the final reply brief.

3 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED THAT subject to the Court's approval of
4 this Stipulation, the page limits set forth in the December 18, 2009 Order shall be modified as
5 follows:

- 6 1. Defendants' dispositive motions due to be filed November 18, 2010,
7 shall be contained within a single brief and limited to 35 pages;
- 8 2. Plaintiff's opposition and any cross motion shall be contained within
9 a single brief and limited to 35 pages; and
- 10 3. Defendant's reply/opposition to cross motion shall be contained
11 within a single brief and limited to 35 pages.
- 12 4. Plaintiffs' reply shall be contained within a single brief and limited
13 to 25 pages.

14 The timing for the filing of the motion, cross-motion, oppositions and replies and the
15 hearing on dispositive motions remains unchanged.

16 DATED: October 19, 2010

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

17
18 By /s/ Stephen V. Kovarik
19 Rebecca R. Weinreich
20 Stephen V. Kovarik
21 Attorneys for Defendants
22 National Union Fire Insurance Company of
23 Pittsburgh, Pa. and Insurance Company of
24 the State of Pennsylvania

23 DATED: October 19, 2010

FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL, LLP

24
25 By /s/ Carly O. Alameda
26 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
27 Seagate Technology, LLC, Seagate Technology
28 International, and Seagate Singapore International
Headquarters PTE LTD

1 File's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, §X(B), I attest under penalty of
2 perjury that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from its signatory.

3 DATED: October 19, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

4
5
6

/s/ Stephen V. Kovarik
Stephen V. Kovarik

7 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED,
8
9

10 DATED: 10/25/2010


THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FEDERAL COURT PROOF OF SERVICE
Seagate Technology v. National Union - File No. LBBS Matter #6234-7284

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to the action. My business address is 221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200, Los Angeles, California 90012. I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.


On October 19, 2010, I served the following document(s): STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGING PAGE LIMIT FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 7-12; [PROPOSED] ORDER

The documents were served by the following means:

(BY COURT'S CM/ECF SYSTEM) Pursuant to Local Rule, I electronically filed the documents with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which sent notification of that filing to the persons listed above.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 19, 2010, at Los Angeles, California.



Tamara Richardson