

1 Kevin Haverty, Esq., *pro hac vice*  
 2 WILLIAMS CUKER BEREZOFSKY, LLC  
 210 Lake Drive East, Suite 101  
 3 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002  
 Telephone: (856) 667-0500

4 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**OAKLAND DIVISION**

STEPHEN WENDELL AND LISA WENDELL,  
 for themselves and as successors in interest to  
 MAXX WENDELL, DECEASED,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON; CENTOCOR, INC.;  
 ABBOTT LABORATORIES; SMITHKLINE  
 BEECHAM d/b/a GLAXOSMITHKLINE; TEVA  
 PHARMACEUTICALS USA; GATE  
 PHARMACEUTICALS, a division of TEVA  
 PHARMACEUTICALS USA; PAR  
 PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.;

Defendants.

CASE NO. 4:09-CV-04124-CW

**STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER  
 EXTENDING TIME AND ORDER**

Removal Filed: September 4, 2009

1 **STIPULATION**

2 Pursuant to Rule 6-2(a), the parties jointly request that the deadlines be extended as set forth  
3 herein.

4 On September 4, 2009, this case was removed to the United States District Court for the  
5 Northern District of California. A series of motions resolved multiple pleadings issues at the outset  
6 of this litigation. On June 10, 2010 plaintiffs filed the operative amended Complaint and the  
7 defendants all filed Answers on July 1, 2010. Discovery beyond initial disclosures began once  
8 pleadings issues were resolved.

9 In the interim, the court, on June 3, 2010, entered a Case Management Order which provided,  
10 among other things, that fact discovery was to end on February 2, 2011.

11 On July 13, 2010, plaintiffs served interrogatories and requests for production of documents  
12 on all defendants. Defendants served responses to those discovery requests variously on August 25,  
13 2010 (Centocor), September 24, 2010 (Par Pharmaceutical and Teva), October 4, 2010 (Abbott  
14 Laboratories) and November 15, 2010 (GlaxoSmithKline). Defendants indicated that responsive  
15 documents would be provided or made available for inspection and copying subject to the entry of a  
16 protective order.

17 On July 28, 2010, plaintiffs' counsel sent an email to counsel for defendant Abbott  
18 Laboratories asking her to identify 30(b)(6) witnesses for deposition, Exhibit 1, to which she  
19 responded the next day that Abbott would endeavor to identify the appropriate witnesses. Exhibit 2.  
20 In Abbott's responses to plaintiffs' discovery requests, various witnesses with knowledge are  
21 identified but Plaintiffs state that their depositions cannot be properly conducted until the underlying  
22 documents have been reviewed.

23 On or about December 20, 2010, the Court granted the parties' request to extend the deadline  
24 to conduct mediation to June 29, 2011. On or about February 3, 2011, the Court granted the parties'  
25 request to extend the discovery end date until June 30, 2011, and ordered that Plaintiffs designate  
26 testifying experts and provide related reports by August 12, 2011, Defendants designate testifying  
27 experts and provide related reports by October 30, 2012 [sic], the parties complete expert discovery  
28

1 on or before December 14, 2011, and dispositive motions be heard on or before (and a case  
2 management conference would be set for) January 26, 2012. The trial date was not continued.

3 A protective order was ultimately entered by the Court on April 19, 2011. Thereafter,  
4 Defendants collectively produced millions of pages of documents on disk drives to Plaintiffs. These  
5 productions occurred variously on April 19 (Centocor) and May 11, 18 and 24, 2011 (Abbott).  
6 Defendants GlaxoSmithKline, Teva and Par have offered to make documents available for  
7 inspection and copying in response to Plaintiffs' requests. Defendant Centocor has offered to make  
8 available for inspection and copying its hard-copy production.

9 The parties have completed key depositions in this litigation, such as the deposition of Maxx  
10 Wendell's prescribing physician on April 11, 2011, and of Plaintiffs and another family member on  
11 June 14, 2011. On several occasions, the last of which occurred on June 16, 2011, the parties  
12 conferred about issues related to timing of mediation, potential dispositive motion practice, and  
13 further discovery.

14 The parties have agreed to mediate this case with Honorable Rebecca Westerfield. The  
15 parties are attempting to identify a mutually agreeable date in August but will, in any event, schedule  
16 the mediation to occur on or before September 15, 2011. The parties believe that a stay of  
17 discovery until after the mediation or, if the mediation is unsuccessful, until after the ruling on the  
18 motions for summary judgment discussed below, will allow the parties to conserve resources for  
19 settlement, conserve judicial resources, and help resolve the litigation.

20 Defendants plan to file motions for summary judgment based on the learned intermediary  
21 doctrine. Defendants do not need additional discovery to file such motions. Plaintiffs have  
22 conducted all discovery needed to oppose such motions and do not plan to oppose the motion on the  
23 grounds that further discovery is necessary.

24 Should those motions and the mediation be unsuccessful, some additional fact discovery  
25 would need to be conducted by the parties. Plaintiffs would need to conduct additional discovery  
26 bearing on the issues of the adequacy of the warning labels which will not only involve reviewing  
27 and selecting pertinent documents from the electronic discovery provided by Defendants but also  
28

1 conduct depositions pursuant to *Fed. R. Civ. P.* 30(b)(6). If they have not already done so, Plaintiffs  
2 will supplement their responses to Defendants' written discovery requests by providing (1) a list of  
3 the categories of damages they seek and amounts for each and (2) certain responsive documents  
4 Plaintiffs testified were available but which have not yet been produced to Defendants. Further fact  
5 discovery to be conducted by Defendants includes taking additional depositions of at least two of  
6 Maxx Wendell's treating oncologists<sup>1</sup> and any discovery arising from Plaintiffs' responses to written  
7 discovery previously propounded by Defendants.

8 THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

9 1. The parties hereto request that the June 29, 2011 deadline for the parties to conduct  
10 private mediation be continued to September 15, 2011.

11 2. The parties request that all other deadlines be vacated.

12 3. The parties request that discovery be stayed until after the mediation or ruling on  
13 defendants' motions for summary judgment, whichever comes later; at that time the parties will meet  
14 and confer to determine a proposed schedule for remaining fact discovery listed above, expert  
15 discovery, other pre-trial deadlines, and a new trial date.

16 4. The parties will file a proposed scheduling order within two weeks of the mediation  
17 (in the event it is unsuccessful) or ruling on defendants' motions for summary judgment, whichever  
18 comes later.

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>1</sup> These depositions were previously scheduled for June 24, 2011. The parties would like to continue these depositions to  
after the mediation to avoid incurring potentially unnecessary costs.

1           5.       DECLARATION PURSUANT TO L.R. 6-2(a): The parties declare that (1) the  
2 reason for the requested enlargement of time is to allow the parties to engage in mediation, potential  
3 early dispositive motion practice and additional discovery should mediation or motion practice fail  
4 to dispose of the case; (2) as set forth above, the parties anticipate that this modification of the  
5 discovery end date will affect other deadlines including the April 2012 trial date.

6           DATED:       June 22, 2011

7                   /s/ Kevin Haverty  
8 Kevin Haverty (*pro hac vice*)  
9 WILLIAMS CUKER BEREZOFSKY,  
10 LLC  
11 Woodland Falls Corporate Park  
210 Lake Drive East, Suite 101  
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002  
*Counsel for Plaintiffs*

/s/ Andrew P. Bautista  
Andrew P. Bautista (*pro hac vice*)  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
300 North LaSalle  
Chicago, Illinois 60654  
*Counsel for Abbott Laboratories*

12                   /s/ Michelle A. Childers  
13 Michelle A. Childers  
14 DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP  
50 Freemont Street, 30th Fl.  
15 San Francisco, CA 94105  
*Counsel for Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.  
and Johnson & Johnson*

/s/ Prentiss W. Hallenbeck, Jr.  
Prentiss W. Hallenbeck, Jr. (*pro hac vice*)  
ULMER & BERNE LLP  
600 Vine Street, Suite 2800  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
*Counsel for Teva Pharmaceuticals  
USA, Inc.*

16                   /s/ William A. Hanssen  
17 William A. Hanssen  
18 DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP  
333 South Grand Ave., Ste. 1700  
19 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1504  
*Counsel for SmithKline Beecham  
Corporation  
d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline*

/s/ Prentiss W. Hallenbeck, Jr.  
Prentiss W. Hallenbeck, Jr. (*pro hac vice*)  
ULMER & BERNE LLP  
600 Vine Street, Suite 2800  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
*Counsel for Par Pharmaceutical, Inc.*

21 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Defendants shall notice their motion on  
22 the learned intermediary defense for not later than Jan. 26, 2012, and a case management conference  
will be held on that date at 2 pm.

23 Dated:   June 23  , 2011

24   
25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 CLAUDIA WILKEN  
27 United States District Judge  
28



1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 22, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing  
3 STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER EXTENDING TIME AND [PROPOSED] ORDER with  
4 the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the  
5 email addresses registered, as denoted on the Court’s Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby  
6 certify that I have mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing document via the United States  
7 Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants listed below:

8 John D. Winter  
9 Patterson, Belknap, Webb & Tyler LLP  
10 1133 Avenue Of The Americas  
11 New York, New York 10036-6710

Jeffrey F. Peck  
Ulmer & Berne LLP  
600 Vine Street, Suite 2800  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

12 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and  
13 correct.

14 DATED: June 22, 2011

15 By: s/ Kevin Haverty

16 Kevin Haverty, pro hac vice  
17 WILLIAMS CUKER BEREZOFSKY, LLC  
18 Khaverty@wcblegal.com  
210 Lake Drive East, Suite 101  
Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002  
Telephone: (856) 667-0500  
19 Fax: (856) 667-5133