

1
2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4

5 STEPHEN WENDELL, et al.,

No. C 09-4124 CW

6 Plaintiffs,

ORDER GRANTING IN
PART MOTION TO
EXCEED PAGE LIMITS
(Docket No. 315)

7 v.

8 JOHNSON & JOHNSON, et al.,

9 Defendants.
10 _____/

11 On December 31, 2013, Defendants Abbott Laboratories, Johnson
12 & Johnson, Centocor, Inc., and Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.
13 moved for leave to exceed the page limits for their joint summary
14 judgment briefs. Specifically, they seek leave to file an opening
15 brief of seventy-five pages and a reply brief of forty-five pages.
16 Neither of these requests is justified.

17 Nevertheless, given the number of pharmaceutical products at
18 issue in this litigation, the Court will grant Defendants leave to
19 file an opening summary judgment brief of up to thirty pages.
20 Plaintiff may file a responsive brief of the same length. Because
21 Defendants do not yet know how many pages they will need for their
22 reply, their request to file a forty-five page reply brief is
23 denied.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25
26 Dated: 1/6/2014

27 
CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge
28