1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CA	ALIFORNIA
3		No. C 09-4147 CW
4	LISA BAIN, et al., Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING IN
5	v.	PART AND DENYING IN PART WITHOUT
6	ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA	PREJUDICE JOINT MOTION FOR LEAVE
7 8	PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON CORPORATION,	TO FILE UNDER SEAL
0 9	Defendants.	
10	/	
11	LISA SAUNDERS, et al.,	No. C 09-4148 CW
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	v.	
14	ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON CORPORATION,	
15	Defendants.	
16 17	/	
17 18	KIMBERLY KESSLER, et al.,	No. C 09-4149 CW
19	Plaintiffs,	
20	v.	
21	ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON	
22	CORPORATION,	
23	Defendants/	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Dockets.Jus

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

Dockets.Justia.com

1 CYNTHIA ARNOLD, et al., No. C 09-4157 CW 2 Plaintiffs, 3 v. 4 ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA 5 PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON CORPORATION, 6 Defendants. 7 8 ANGEL COLON, et al., No. C 09-4158 CW 9 Plaintiffs, 10 v. 11 ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA 12 PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON CORPORATION, 13 Defendants. 14 ____/ 15 MARK COFFEY, et al., No. C 09-4161 CW 16 Plaintiffs, 17 v. 18 ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA 19 PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON CORPORATION, 20 Defendants. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 2

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

```
1
   SHARON DISTON, et al.,
                                                    No. C 09-4165 CW
2
              Plaintiffs,
3
        v.
4
   ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA
5
   PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON
   CORPORATION,
6
              Defendants.
7
8
   DAMON BROWN, et al.,
                                                    No. C 10-0288 CW
9
              Plaintiffs,
10
       v.
11
   ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA
12
   PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON
   CORPORATION,
13
              Defendants.
14
15
                                                    No. C 10-0289 CW
   DENNIS O'BRIEN, et al.,
16
              Plaintiffs,
17
        v.
18
   ASTRAZENECA LP, ASTRAZENECA
19
   PHARMACEUTICALS LP and MCKESSON
   CORPORATION,
20
              Defendants.
21
22
23
        Plaintiffs in these related cases and Defendants AstraZeneca
24
   LP, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP and McKesson Corporation jointly
   move for leave to file under seal documents related to their
25
26
   stipulated motion for an "Order Establishing a Qualified Settlement
27
   Fund and Appointing Fund Administrator" (Stipulated Motion).
28
                                      3
```

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1 Because the public interest favors filing all court documents 2 in the public record, any party seeking to file a document under 3 seal must demonstrate good cause to do so. <u>Pintos v. Pac.</u> <u>Creditors Ass'n</u>, 565 F.3d 1106, 1115 (9th Cir. 2009). This cannot 4 5 be established simply by showing that the document is subject to a protective order or by stating in general terms that the material 6 7 is considered to be confidential, but rather must be supported by a 8 sworn declaration demonstrating with particularity the need to file 9 each document under seal. See Civil L.R. 79-5(a).

In the declaration supporting their motion to seal, the parties indicate that they have entered into a Master Settlement Agreement (MSA), which involves the establishment of a Qualified Settlement Fund (QSF). They assert that both "the MSA and the QSF contain and concern confidential, private and sensitive settlement information." Dunlap Decl. ¶ 3.

16 Not all of the documents the parties seek to file under seal 17 appear to implicate information regarding the MSA and the QSF. The 18 stipulation filed in support of the motion contains specific, 19 detailed information about the settlement. However, the Stipulated 20 Motion does not appear to contain such information. Requests for 21 leave to file documents under seal must be narrowly tailored. See Civ. L.R. 79-5(a). 22

Accordingly, the parties' motion to seal is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part without prejudice. The "Stipulation with Respect to Motion Regarding Plaintiffs' Request for Administrative Relief and for Order Establishing a Qualified Settlement Fund and Appointing Fund Administrator" may be filed under seal. Within

4

28

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

three days of the date of this Order, the parties may renew their motion for leave to file their Stipulated Motion under seal, so long as they proffer a declaration establishing the Stipulated Motion's sealability. In the alternative, they shall file the Stipulated Motion in the public record within three days. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 2/7/2011 United States District Judge