

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

LISA BAIN, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. C 09-4147 CW  
  
ORDER REQUIRING  
THE MULLIGAN LAW  
FIRM TO PROVIDE  
ADDITIONAL NOTICE  
TO PLAINTIFFS  
REGARDING THE  
RENEWED MOTIONS TO  
WITHDRAW

\_\_\_\_\_  
LISA SAUNDERS, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. C 09-4148 CW

\_\_\_\_\_  
KIMBERLY KESSLER, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. C 09-4149 CW

\_\_\_\_\_  
CYNTHIA ARNOLD, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. C 09-4157 CW

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1 ANGEL COLON, et al., No. C 09-4158 CW

2 Plaintiffs,

3 v.

4 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

5 Defendants.

6 \_\_\_\_\_/

7 MARK COFFEY, et al., No. C 09-4161 CW

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

11 Defendants.

12 \_\_\_\_\_/

13 SHARON DISTON, et al., No. C 09-4165 CW

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

17 Defendants.

18 \_\_\_\_\_/

19 DAMON BROWN, et al., No. C 10-0288 CW

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

23 Defendants.

24 \_\_\_\_\_/

25 DENNIS O'BRIEN, et al., No. C 10-0289 CW

26 Plaintiffs,

27 v.

28 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_/

1 DONALD BATES, et al., No. C 09-4150 CW

2 Plaintiffs,

3 v.

4 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

5 Defendants.

6 \_\_\_\_\_/

7 CAROLYN HARRISON, et al., No. C 09-4151 CW

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

11 Defendants.

12 \_\_\_\_\_/

13 TODD BOGGIS, et al., No. C 09-4159 CW

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

17 Defendants.

18 \_\_\_\_\_/

19 ANTONIO BURTON, et al., No. C 09-4162 CW

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

23 Defendants.

24 \_\_\_\_\_/

25

26

27

28

1 GLORIA MILLER, et al.,

No. C 09-4163 CW

2 Plaintiffs,

3 v.

4 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

5 Defendants.

6 \_\_\_\_\_/

7 BONG NGYUEN, et al.,

No. C 09-4166 CW

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

11 Defendants.

12 \_\_\_\_\_/

13 On November 7 and 8, 2012, the Court vacated the pending  
14 motions to withdraw as counsel for certain Plaintiffs in the  
15 above-captioned cases and granted counsel leave to renew the  
16 motions by Tuesday, November 13, 2012. The Court set Wednesday,  
17 December 12, 2012 as the hearing date for any renewed motion to  
18 withdraw. The Court ordered that counsel file with any renewed  
19 motion proof that they had served notice of the motion upon  
20 Plaintiffs and include as an exhibit a copy of the notice  
21 provided. The Court required that, in the notice, counsel inform  
22 Plaintiffs, among other things, of how and by when they may file  
23 an opposition and when and where the hearing on the motion to  
24 withdraw would take place.

25 On November 13, 2012, both the Miller Firm and the Mulligan  
26 Law Firm filed renewed motions to withdraw. On November 14, 2012,  
27 the Mulligan Law Firm refiled its renewed motions.

28

1           On November 13 and 14, 2012 and, the Mulligan Law Firm filed  
2 identical copies of the notice it provided to Plaintiffs. In this  
3 notice, the Mulligan Law Firm incorrectly informed Plaintiffs that  
4 the hearing on the motions to withdraw will take place on December  
5 13, 2012 instead of on December 12, 2012. The Mulligan Law Firm  
6 also did not tell Plaintiffs how they may file an opposition.

7           Accordingly, the Court ORDERS as follows:

8           The Court extends, until Monday, December 3, 2012, the  
9 deadline for Plaintiffs represented by the Mulligan Law Firm to  
10 file oppositions to the motions to withdraw. The Court maintains  
11 the deadline for the Mulligan Law Firm to file a reply as  
12 Wednesday, December 5, 2012.

13           By Thursday, November 15, 2012, the Mulligan Law Firm shall  
14 send an additional notice to Plaintiffs, by overnight mail. The  
15 additional notice shall clearly state at least the following  
16 items: (1) that the prior notice incorrectly stated the date of  
17 the hearing on the motion to withdraw; (2) the correct date and  
18 time for the hearing; (3) that the Court has extended the date for  
19 Plaintiffs to file an opposition to the motion to withdraw;  
20 (4) the new deadline for Plaintiffs to file an opposition; and  
21 (5) what steps Plaintiffs must take to file an opposition. For an  
22 example regarding the last of these, the Mulligan Law Firm should  
23 refer to the notice sent by the Miller Firm to its clients. See,  
24 e.g., Docket No. 63-2 in Case No. 09-4149, 2:1-6.

25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

By Friday, November 16, 2012, the Mulligan Law Firm shall file with the Court a copy of the additional notice and proof of service upon Plaintiffs by overnight mail.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 14, 2012

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge