

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LISA BAIN, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.

No. C 09-4147 CW
ORDER APPROVING
DRAFT LETTERS

ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,
Defendants.

=====
LISA SAUNDERS, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.

No. C 09-4148 CW

ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,
Defendants.

=====
KIMBERLY KESSLER, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.

No. C 09-4149 CW

ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,
Defendants.

=====
CYNTHIA ARNOLD, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.

No. C 09-4157 CW

ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,
Defendants.

1 ANGEL COLON, et al., No. C 09-4158 CW

2 Plaintiffs,

3 v.

4 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

5 Defendants.

6 _____/

7 MARK COFFEY, et al., No. C 09-4161 CW

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

11 Defendants.

12 _____/

13 SHARON DISTON, et al., No. C 09-4165 CW

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

17 Defendants.

18 _____/

19 DAMON BROWN, et al., No. C 10-0288 CW

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

23 Defendants.

24 _____/

25 DENNIS O'BRIEN, et al., No. C 10-0289 CW

26 Plaintiffs,

27 v.

28 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

Defendants.

_____/

1 DONALD BATES, et al.,

No. C 09-4150 CW

2 Plaintiffs,

3 v.

4 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

5 Defendants.

6 _____/

7 CAROLYN HARRISON, et al.,

No. C 09-4151 CW

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

11 Defendants.

12 _____/

13 TODD BOGGIS, et al.,

No. C 09-4159 CW

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

17 Defendants.

18 _____/

19 ANTONIO BURTON, et al.,

No. C 09-4162 CW

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

23 Defendants.

24 _____/

25

26

27

28

1 GLORIA MILLER, et al.,
2 Plaintiffs,

No. C 09-4163 CW

3 v.

4 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,
5 Defendants.

6 _____/

7 BONG NGYUEN, et al.,
8 Plaintiffs,

No. C 09-4166 CW

9 v.

10 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,
11 Defendants.

12 _____/

13 Pursuant to the Court's direction at the last case management
14 conference, The Mulligan Law Firm and the Miller Firm submitted
15 draft letters to their clients for in camera review by the Court.
16 The Court has reviewed the draft letters and hereby APPROVES them.

17 Prior to finalizing the settlements or otherwise dismissing
18 the claims of any remaining Plaintiff represented by either firm,
19 counsel shall provide the Plaintiff with the letter approved by
20 the Court.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22
23 Dated: 1/2/2013



CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge

24
25
26
27
28