

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DONALD BATES, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_/

CAROLYN HARRISON, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_/

TODD BOGGIS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_/

PAUL TRIM, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_/

No. C 09-4150 CW

ORDER GRANTING  
UNOPPOSED MOTION  
FOR AN ORDER TO  
SHOW CAUSE AND  
ORDER TO SHOW  
CAUSE WHY CASES  
SHOULD NOT BE  
DISMISSED WITH  
PREJUDICE

No. C 09-4151 CW

No. C 09-4159 CW

No. C 09-4160 CW

1 ANTONIO BURTON, et al.,

No. C 09-4162 CW

2 Plaintiffs,

3 v.

4 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

5 Defendants.

6 \_\_\_\_\_/

7 GLORIA MILLER, et al.,

No. C 09-4163 CW

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

11 Defendants.

12 \_\_\_\_\_/

13 BONG NGYUEN, et al.,

No. C 09-4166 CW

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

17 Defendants.

18 \_\_\_\_\_/

19 DAVID MARTIN, et al.,

No. C 09-4164 CW

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 ASTRAZENECA, LP, et al.,

23 Defendants.

24 \_\_\_\_\_/

25

26

27

28

1 Plaintiffs' counsel moves for an order to show cause why  
2 claims brought by certain Plaintiffs should not be dismissed with  
3 prejudice.

4 Plaintiffs' counsel represents that it has entered into a  
5 Master Settlement Agreement (MSA) with Defendants as to all cases  
6 involving Plaintiffs represented by Plaintiffs' counsel.  
7 Plaintiffs' counsel has informed all such Plaintiffs of the MSA  
8 and their right to participate in the settlement agreement  
9 according to its terms. However, certain Plaintiffs, who are  
10 listed in Exhibit A to Plaintiffs' counsel's motion, have failed  
11 to respond to indicate whether they will or will not participate  
12 in the MSA, and have failed to keep in contact with their counsel.

13 Having considered the papers filed by Plaintiffs' counsel,  
14 the Court GRANTS the motion and ORDERS the Plaintiffs listed in  
15 Exhibit A to show cause, within twenty-one days of the date of  
16 this Order, why his or her case should not be dismissed with  
17 prejudice. Alternatively, these Plaintiffs may have new counsel  
18 appear before this Court on his or her behalf, or may communicate  
19 with counsel regarding their agreement to the MSA. Plaintiffs are  
20 admonished that if they fail to comply with the terms of this  
21 order, their claims will be dismissed with prejudice.

22 Plaintiffs' counsel is ORDERED to provide notice of this  
23 Order to Plaintiffs listed in Exhibit A, based on the best  
24 available contact information, and to file a certification that  
25 such notice has been sent, with three days of the date of this  
26 Order. Plaintiffs' counsel is also ORDERED to file, in twenty-two  
27 days from the date of this Order, a declaration stating whether  
28

1 these Plaintiffs have since communicated with counsel regarding  
2 their agreement to the MSA.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4  
5 Dated: 5/18/2012

  
6 CLAUDIA WILKEN  
7 United States District Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28