

1 TONY WEST
 2 Assistant Attorney General
 Civil Division
 3 JOSHUA E.T. BRAUNSTEIN
 Assistant Director
 4 LANA L. VAHAB (DC 497145)
 Trial Attorney
 5 Office of Immigration Litigation
 Civil Division, Justice Department
 6 P.O. Box 878, Ben Franklin Station
 Washington, D.C. 20044
 7 Tel: (202) 532-4067
 Fax: (202) 305-7000
 8 E-mail: lane.vahab@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for the United States

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 TATIANA FRIDERICI)
 13)
 14 Plaintiff,)
 15 vs.)
 16)
 17 JANET NAPOLITANO; ROSEMARY)
 MELVILLE; ROBIN BARRETT; and)
 18 ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr.)
 19)
 20 Defendants.)

Case No. C 4:09-CV-04170-SBA

PARTIES JOINT REQUEST TO
 BE EXEMPT FROM FORMAL
 ADR PROCESS; AND ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER

21 Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled
 22 “Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California,” or the specified portions
 23 of the ADR Unit’s Internet site <www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov>, discussed the available dispute
 24 resolution options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case
 25 might benefit from any of them.

26 Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process will **not** be beneficial

27 JOINT REQUEST TO BE EXEMPT FROM ADR
 28 Case No. C 09-cv-04710

1 because this action is brought under the Administrative Record Act and therefore the resolution
2 of this matter will be based on the administrative record. In this action, Plaintiff asks the Court
3 to reverse the revocation of her husband's marriage-based visa. As such, given the nature of the
4 action and the lack of any potential middle ground, ADR will only serve to multiply the
5 proceedings and unnecessarily use court resources.

6 Accordingly, pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-3(c), the parties request the case be removed from
7 the ADR Multi-Option Program and that they be excused from participating in the ADR phone
8 conference and any further formal ADR process. If any party subsequently determines that
9 submission to the formal ADR process would be beneficial to the efficient resolution of this
10 matter, the parties agree to consider whether to submit to the Court's ADR program at that time.

11
12 Dated: May 20, 2010

Respectfully submitted

13 _____
/s/

14 Lana L. Vahab
15 Trial Attorney
16 Office of Immigration Litigation
17 Civil Division, Justice Department
18 P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station
19 Washington, D.C. 20044
20 Tel: (202) 532-4067
21 Fax: (202) 305-7000
22 E-mail: lana.vahab@usdoj.gov

23 _____
/s/

24 Teresa Salazar-Cosmos
25 Immigration Practice Group
26 555 Clay Street
27 San Francisco, CA 94111
28 Tel: (415) 398-3852
Fax: (415) 296-8730
E-mail: ts@ipgpc.com

ORDER

Pursuant to stipulation and to ADR L. R. 3-3(c), the parties are hereby removed from the ADR Multi-Option Program and are excused from participating in the ADR phone conference and any further formal ADR process. Should any party subsequently determine that submission to the formal ADR process would be beneficial to the efficient resolution of this matter, that party may request placement in one of the Court's ADR programs at that time.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5/7/10



Sandra B. Armstrong
United States Magistrate Judge