1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COU

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SLEEP SCIENCE PARTNERS, INC., a California corporation,

No. C 09-4200 CW

Plaintiff,

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO SEAL

v.

(Docket No. 150)

AVERY LIEBERMAN, an individual; KATRINA WEBSTER, an individual; DANIEL WEBSTER, an individual; SLEEPING WELL, LLC,

Defendants.

Defendants Katrina Webster, et al., move for leave to file under seal portions of their brief, the Declaration of Gary L. Franklin and various exhibits, all filed in support of their opposition to Plaintiff Sleep Science Partners, Inc.'s motion for leave to file an amended complaint. Plaintiff designated as confidential the sections Defendants ask the Court to seal. Plaintiff has filed a declaration in support of Defendants' motion to seal.

Because the public interest favors filing all court documents in the public record, any party seeking to file a document under seal must demonstrate good cause to do so. Pintos v. Pac. Creditors Ass'n, 605 F.3d 665, 678 (9th Cir. 2010). This cannot be established simply by showing that the document is subject to a

¹ Plaintiff's claims against Defendant Avery Lieberman have been dismissed. (Docket No. 143.)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2	is considered to be confidential, but rather must be supported by a
3	sworn declaration demonstrating with particularity the need to file
4	each document under seal. <u>See</u> Civ. L.R. 79-5(a). If a document
5	has been designated as confidential by another party, that party
6	must file a declaration establishing that the document is sealable.
7	Civ. L.R. 79-5(d).
8	Plaintiff does not establish good cause to seal the sections
9	implicated by Defendants' motion to seal. Plaintiff states only
10	that the sections "concern the identity" of its vendors. Flick
11	Decl. \P 3. Plaintiff, however, does not explain why this
12	information must be sealed.
13	Accordingly, the Court DENIES Defendants' motion to seal.
14	(Docket No. 150). Within four days of the date of this Order,
15	Defendants shall file unredacted versions of their documents in the
16	public record. Civ. L.R. 79-5(e).
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.

protective order or by stating in general terms that the material

Dated: 5/25/2011

United States District Judge