

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT LAINGEN DUESLER, II,

No. C 09-04219 SBA (PR)

Petitioner,

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND
ADDRESSING PETITIONER'S
PENDING MOTIONS**

v.

BEN CURRY, Warden, et al.,

Respondents.

Petitioner, a state prisoner, has filed this petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He has paid the \$5.00 filing fee. It does not appear from the face of the petition that it is without merit. Good cause appearing, the Court hereby issues the following orders:

1. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this Order and the petition and all attachments thereto upon Respondent and Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this Order on Petitioner at his current address.
2. Respondent shall file with this Court and serve upon Petitioner, within **one-hundred and twenty (120) days** of the issuance of this Order, an Answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued. Respondent shall file with the Answer a copy of all portions of the relevant state records that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.
3. If Petitioner wishes to respond to the Answer, he shall do so by filing a Traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within **sixty (60) days** of his receipt of the Answer. Should

1 Petitioner fail to do so, the petition will be deemed submitted and ready for decision **sixty (60) days**
2 after the date Petitioner is served with Respondent's Answer.

3 4. Respondent may file with this Court and serve upon Petitioner, within **sixty (60) days**
4 of the issuance of this Order, a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an Answer, as set
5 forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If
6 Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on Respondent an
7 opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion within **sixty (60) days** of receipt of the
8 motion, and Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner a reply within **fifteen (15)**
9 **days** of receipt of any opposition.

10 5. It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner must keep the Court
11 and Respondent informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a
12 timely fashion. Petitioner must also serve on Respondent's counsel all communications with the
13 Court by mailing a true copy of the document to Respondent's counsel.

14 6. Extensions of time are not favored, though reasonable extensions will be granted.
15 Any motion for an extension of time must be filed no later than **ten (10) days** prior to the deadline
16 sought to be extended.

17 7. Petitioner's "Motion for Consent to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction" (docket no. 9) is
18 DENIED pursuant to Revised General Order 44, which states: "[t]he full time magistrate judges of
19 this District shall be included in the civil case assignment system in the same manner as active
20 district judges, except for prisoner petitions not filed by prisoners who have filed previous actions
21 pursuant to Sec. D7 [relating to habeas corpus petitions]"

22 8. Petitioner's "Motion for Consent to Respondent's Request for Extension" (docket no.
23 10) is DENIED as unnecessary because Respondent has not made such a request.

24 9. This Order terminates Docket nos. 9 and 10.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 DATED: 4/23/10


SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
United States District Judge

28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4 ROBERT LAINGEN DUESLER II,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 BEN CURRY et al,

8 Defendant.

Case Number: CV09-04219 SBA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

9 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
10 Court, Northern District of California.

11 That on April 26, 2010, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
12 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said
13 envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle
14 located in the Clerk's office.

15 Robert Laingen Duesler D-43799
16 P.O. Box 8101
17 A-1208
18 San Luis Obispo, CA 93409-8101

19 Dated: April 26, 2010

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk