

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
3 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4

5 MELVIN DUKES,

No. C 09-04223 SBA (PR)

6 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO
SHOW CONTINUED INTENT TO
PROSECUTE THIS ACTION**

7 v.

8 J. SELBIL, et al.,

9 Defendants.
/

10 Plaintiff filed the instant pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in the United
11 States District Court for the Eastern District of California, and in an Order dated August 25, 2009,
12 Magistrate Judge Kimberly J. Mueller of the Eastern District transferred this action to this Court.
13 On September 17, 2009, the Clerk of the Court informed Plaintiff that this case had been transferred
14 to the Northern District.

15 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), a district court may sua sponte dismiss an
16 action for failure to prosecute or to comply with a court order. See Link v. Wabash R.R., 370 U.S.
17 626, 633 (1962); McKeever v. Block, 932 F.2d 795, 797 (9th Cir. 1991). But such a dismissal
18 should only be ordered when the failure to comply is unreasonable. See id. A district court should
19 afford the litigant prior notice of its intention to dismiss. See Malone v. United States Postal Serv.,
20 833 F.2d 128, 133 (9th Cir. 1987).

21 In the instant case, Plaintiff has failed to communicate with the Court since this case has
22 been transferred to the Northern District. Furthermore, the September 17, 2009 notice was returned
23 as undeliverable on September 24, 2009 with a notation: "Return to Sender -- Inmate Refused."
24 Accordingly, it is in the interests of justice and judicial efficiency for the Court to establish whether
25 Plaintiff intends to continue to prosecute this action. Plaintiff shall file a notice of his continued
26 intent to prosecute no later than **thirty (30) days** of the date of this Order. Failure to do so will
27 result in the dismissal of this action without prejudice for failure to prosecute under Rule 41(b) of
28

1 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. See Malone, 833 F.2d at 133 (the district court should afford
2 the litigant prior notice before dismissing for failure to prosecute).

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: 10/26/09


5 SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
United States District Judge

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4 MELVIN DUKES,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 J SELBIL et al,

8 Defendant.

Case Number: CV09-04223 SBA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

9 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
10 Court, Northern District of California.

11 That on October 28, 2009, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
12 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said
13 envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle
14 located in the Clerk's office.

15 Melvin Dukes D-33572
16 Salinas Valley State Prison
17 P.O. Box 1050
18 Soledad, CA 93960-1050

19 Dated: October 28, 2009

20 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
21 By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk
22
23
24
25
26
27
28