Doc. 336

Dockets.Justia.com

Golden Gate Way, LLC v. Stewart et al

	C 1	11		
20	to	П	lows	•
as	10	ш	UVV.	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their Complaint on September 22, 2009 seeking costs for investigation and cleanup of soil and groundwater, as ordered by the Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region ("RWQCB"), pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601, et seq, and other relief;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' Complaint, Cross-Complaint, and Cross-Claims named the Stewart Defendants, Scott Monroe and Joy Monroe;

WHEREAS, the Stewart Defendants filed Cross-Claims and Counter-Claims against, Plaintiffs, Scott Monroe and Joy Monroe;

WHEREAS, Scott Monroe filed Cross-Claims against Plaintiffs and the Stewart Defendants:

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and the Stewart Defendants are cooperatively investigating allegations of soil, groundwater and soil gas contamination at the property subject of this litigation under the direction of the RWQCB. Plaintiffs' and the Stewart Defendants' investigative activities, as required by the RWQCB, are not complete;

WHEREAS, the PARTIES desire to participate in mediation and attempt settlement of the respective claims subsequent to the completion of Plaintiffs' and the Stewart Defendants' investigation, but require additional time so that the investigation required by the RWQCB may be completed. This timeline conflicts with the Court's present Case Management Order such to cause the parties to incur unnecessary costs or unnecessarily consume judicial resources. Additionally, the Plaintiffs and Stewart Defendants have represented that this additional time may result in the resolution of this matter without the participation of Scott Monroe;

WHEREAS, the PARTIES have entered into Tolling Agreements, which toll the statue of limitations for certain claims brought to date in this matter, causes the Parties to dismiss the present action without prejudice, allows for the completion of the Plaintiffs' and the Stewart Defendants' investigation of the subject property and allows for the Parties to mediate any unresolved claims.

WOOD, OMITH, DENNING & DENNING LET Attorneys at Law	10960 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 18TH FLOOR	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024-3804	TELEPHONE 310-481-7600 ◆ FAX 310-481-7650	

1							
2	THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE, by and through their counsel of						
3	record, that:						
4	1)	Plaintiff's action is hereby dismissed without prejudice;					
5	2)	Any and all Cross- or Counter-Claims are hereby dismissed without prejudice;					
6	3)	The Parties will bear their own costs, attorneys' fees and expense; and					
7	4)	The foregoing dismissal is voluntary and shall not operate as adjudication on the					
8	merits under Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or for any other purpose. However,						
9	this voluntary dismissal does count as one dismissal for purposes of Federal Rule of Civil						
10	Procedure 41a(1)(B).						
11	IT IS SO STIPULATED:						
12	Dated: May 8, 2014		RAY T. ROCKWELL J. GARRET DEAL				
13			LAW OFFICES OF RAY T. ROCKWELL				
14			By: <u>/s/ J. Garret Deal</u>				
15			Attorneys for Plaintiff, Counter-				
16	Defendant, Cross-Claimant, Cross-Defendant						
17			GOLDEN GATEWAY, LLC and Cross-Defendants WILLIAM PEACOCK and				
18			YOLANDA PEACOCK and				
19							
20	Dated: May 8, 2014		DAVID F. WOOD EMILIO A. MACASINAG JON-ERIK W. MAGNUS				
21			WOOD SMITH HENNING & BERMAN				
22			By: <u>/s/ Jon-Erik W. Magnus</u>				
23			Attorneys for Defendants and Cross-				
24			Complainants JEANNE STEWART, JEANNE'S HAMLIN CLEANERS, JEANNE STEWART 4/4/2 HAMLIN				
25			JEANNE STEWART d/b/a HAMLIN CLEANERS and TOMMY LEE				
26			STEWART				
27							
28							

28