

1 THE LAW OFFICES OF GARY W. GORSKI  
 Gary W. Gorski - SBN: 166526  
 2 1207 Front St., Suite 15  
 Sacramento, CA 95814  
 3 Tel. (916) 965-6800  
 Fax (916) 965-6801  
 4 usrugby@gmail.com

5 Co-Counsel  
 THE LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL M. KARALASH  
 6 Daniel M. Karalash - SBN: 176422  
 Tel. (916) 787-1234  
 7 Fax (916) 787-0267

8 LAW OFFICE OF DUSTIN MACFARLANE  
 Dustin MacFarlane - SBN: 262162  
 9 dustinmacfarlane@gmail.com

10 LAW OFFICE OF BRIAN KENNEDY  
 Brian Kennedy - SBN: 247961  
 11 brian\_kennedy6@yahoo.com

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff

13 THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

14 IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15 THERESE MARIE PIZZO,

16 Plaintiff,

17 vs.

18 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO )  
 MAYOR GAVIN NEWSOM, in both his )  
 19 individual and official capacities; FORMER )  
 SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT; )  
 20 CHIEF OF POLICE HEATHER FONG, in both )  
 her individual and official capacities; SAN )  
 21 FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT CHIEF )  
 OF POLICE GEORGE GASCON, in his official )  
 22 capacity; SAN FRANCISCO SHERIFF )  
 MICHAEL HENNESSEY, in both his )  
 23 individual and official capacities; CITY AND )  
 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; and STATE )  
 24 OF CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL )  
 EDMUND G. BROWN, in his official capacity, )

25 Defendants.  
 26 \_\_\_\_\_

Case No. 09-cv-04493-CW

**AMENDED STIPULATION OF THE  
 PARTIES TO SET ASIDE ORDER OF  
 JULY 14, 2010 FOR FAILURE TO  
 APPEAR AND TO RESET THE CASE  
 BACK ON THE CASE MANAGEMENT  
 CONFERENCE CALENDER**

27 Plaintiff THERESE MARIE PIZZO by and through her attorneys of record, Defendants  
 28 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and its named officials and Defendant STATE OF

1 CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL EDMUND G. BROWN, in his official capacity, by and  
2 through their respective attorneys of record hereby stipulate to the following recitals and proposed  
3 order:

4 WHEREAS each of the attorneys for the parties independently failed to notice the Court's  
5 addition in the footer area of Docket entry Number 16, 04/05/10, Stipulation of the Parties to  
6 Continue the Case Management Conference, that reset the Case Management Conference for  
7 7/13/2010 at 2:00 p.m., and

8 WHEREAS the Docket entry for 04/05/2010 stated, "Deadlines terminated. 6 Case  
9 Management Scheduling Order," which implied that there were no longer any hearings on calendar,  
10 and

11 WHEREAS the parties apologize for any inconvenience they may have caused the Court by  
12 inadvertently failing to attend the July 13, 2010 Case Management Conference, and

13 WHEREAS the parties agree that this case should remain stayed in the aftermath of  
14 *McDonald v. Chicago*, 561 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2010) and *District of Columbia v. Heller*, 554 U.S. \_\_\_, 128  
15 S.Ct. 2783, 171 L.Ed.2d 637 (2008). There are several cases pending in the U.S. Court of Appeals  
16 for the Ninth Circuit that may address issues left open by *McDonald* regarding the standard of review  
17 for claims under the Second Amendment, *Nordyke v. King* Case No. 07-15763, *Rothery v. Blanas*,  
18 Case No. 09-16852 and *Mehl v. Blanas*, Case No. 08-15773 and the viability of California's Carry  
19 Concealed Weapons permits (CCW) under a appropriate standard of review (*Mehl*). Both *Mehl* and  
20 *Nordyke* have been fully briefed and argued. Decisions in these matters were stayed pending the  
21 ruling in *McDonald*. The opening brief was filed in *Rothery*, and the Ninth Circuit put the  
22 opposition on hold until the *McDonald* decision came out. Resolution of these issues by the Ninth  
23 Circuit could have a direct bearing on the disposition of this case, and

24 WHEREAS there is currently an earlier-filed case before Judge Seeborg, *Jackson v. City and*  
25 *County of San Francisco*, No. C09-2143 RS, and though the Attorney General is not a party to that  
26 case, both the City and County of San Francisco and Plaintiff represent that *Jackson* raises the same  
27 claims against the same San Francisco ordinances as Plaintiff raises here, and

28 WHEREAS both the City and County of San Francisco and Plaintiff hope to prevent

1 duplicate proceedings on the same legal issue that could result in conflicting opinions issues by  
2 moving to consolidate this case with *Jackson*, and

3 WHEREAS the parties agree that it would also be in the interest of judicial economy to have  
4 the case stayed until the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit renders final decisions in  
5 *Nordyke v. King* and *Mehl v. Blanas*, and Judge Seeborg decides whether to consolidate this case  
6 with *Jackson*,

7 THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE TO THE FOLLOWING ORDER:

8 The Order dated July 14, 2010 dismissing the case for failure to prosecute is hereby set aside.  
9 Plaintiff's counsel is directed to notify the Court in writing when the U.S. Court of Appeals for the  
10 Ninth Circuit renders final decisions in *Nordyke v. King* and *Mehl v. Blanas* including copies of the  
11 opinions. Counsel for Defendant City and County of San Francisco shall likewise notify the Court in  
12 writing on the disposition of the motion to consolidate. After receipt of these notifications, the Court  
13 will issue an order setting a case management conference.

14 IT IS SO STIPULATED THIS THURSDAY, JULY 15, 2010,

15 /S/ Gary W. Gorski  
16 Gary W. Gorski  
17 1207 Front St., Suite 15  
18 Sacramento, CA 95814  
Tel. (916) 965-6800  
Fax (916) 965-6801  
Attorney for Plaintiff

19 /S/ Geoffrey L. Graybill  
20 Geoffrey L. Graybill  
21 Deputy Attorney General  
22 Government Law Section  
23 1300 I Street  
24 Sacramento, CA 95814  
Telephone: 916-324-5465  
Fax: 916-324-8835  
Cell: 916-296-2472  
Attorney for Defendant STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
ATTORNEY GENERAL EDMUND G. BROWN, in his  
official capacity

25 /S/ Sherri Sokeland Kaiser  
26 Sherri Sokeland Kaiser  
27 Deputy City Attorney  
28 City Hall, Room 234  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4682  
(415) 554-4691 (direct)

1 (415) 554-4747 (fax)  
2 Attorney for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN  
3 FRANCISCO MAYOR GAVIN NEWSOM, in both his  
4 individual and official capacities; FORMER SAN  
5 FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT; CHIEF OF POLICE  
6 HEATHER FONG, in both her individual and official  
capacities; SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT  
CHIEF OF POLICE GEORGE GASCON, in his official  
capacity; SAN FRANCISCO SHERIFF MICHAEL  
HENNESSEY, in both his individual and official capacities;  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED,** except that a Further Case Management Conference is set for  
8 October 5, 2010 at 2 p.m.

9 **Dated:** 7/22/2010

  
Judge Claudia Wilken  
U.S. District Court Judge