IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

2 -

26

27

28

THERESE MARIE PIZZO,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; KAMALA HARRIS, in her official capacity as California Attorney General; EDWIN LEE, in his official capacity as Mayor of the City & County of San Francisco; GREG SUHR, in his official capacity as San Francisco Police Chief; and VICKI HENNESSY, in her official capacity as the Sheriff of San Francisco,

Defendants.

No. C 09-4493 CW

ORDER STRIKING SEPARATE EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS OF DEFENDANT KAMALA HARRIS (Docket No. 87)

On July 2, 2012, Defendant Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of California, filed her twenty-three page opposition to Plaintiff Therese Marie Pizzo's motion for summary judgment and cross-motion for summary judgment. Docket No. 81. Attorney General Harris concurrently filed a separate eleven page document consisting of objections to Plaintiff's evidence in support of her motion for summary judgment. Docket No. 87.

Civil Local Rule 7-3(a) provides that, when a party files an opposition to a motion, "[a]ny evidentiary and procedural objections to the motion must be contained within the brief or memorandum," which may not exceed twenty-five pages of text. The Attorney General has filed her evidentiary objections separately from her brief. Further, between the two documents, she has filed thirty-three pages of text.

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

Accordingly, the Court STRIKES the Attorney General's separate evidentiary objections (Docket No. 87). She is granted leave to amend and refile her opposition and cross-motion incorporating any evidentiary objections, within one day of the date of this Order. The amended opposition and cross-motion shall not exceed twenty-five pages.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/2/2012

United States District Judge