1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JERRY F. STANLEY,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-2546 DAD P
12	VS.
13	WARDEN WONG, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant
17	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has not paid the required filing fee or filed an application
18	requesting leave to proceed in forma pauperis.
19	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on
20	diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all
21	defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events
22	or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject
23	of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is
24	no district in which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
25	In this case, none of the named defendants reside in this district. Plaintiff's claim
26	arose in Marin County, which is in the Northern District of California. Therefore, plaintiff's
	1

1

1	complaint should have been filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of
2	California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong
3	district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932
4	(D.C. Cir. 1974).
5	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the
6	United States District Court for the Northern District of California.
7	DATED: September 16, 2009.
8	2
9	Dale A. Droza DALE A. DROZD
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	DAD:9
12	stan2546.21
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I