

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
3 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4
5 OMARR L. BURNETT,

No. C 09-04693 SBA (PR)

6 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DISMISSING WITHOUT
PREJUDICE CLAIMS AGAINST
DEFENDANT ERICSSON**

7 v.

8 DEPUTY FRAYNE, et al.,

9 Defendants.

10 Plaintiff, a state prisoner, filed the present pro se prisoner complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

11 The Court issued an Order of Service and mailed a Notice of Lawsuit, a Request for Waiver of
12 Service of Summons as well as the complaint to each Defendant.

13 Plaintiff was notified in a May 10, 2010 Order that service could not be completed on
14 Defendant Dr. Ericsson:

15 Documents addressed to Defendant Ericsson were returned because Eric Messick,
16 the San Quentin State Prison (SQSP) Litigation Coordinator, "was unable to
17 accept delivery" of these documents. (Apr. 30, 2010 Letter from SQSP Litigation
18 Coordinator Eric Messick.) Mr. Messick has informed the Court that SQSP "does
19 not have a Dr. Ericsson employed or contracted now, or at the time of the event
20 date referenced in the complaint." (Id.) Mr. Messick also states that he "was able
21 to learn that a nurse practitioner named Patricia Erickson was working under
22 contract at that time." (Id.) However, "no forwarding address was on file." (Id.)
23 Mr. Messick "was able to locate a Patricia Erickson online via state licensing for
24 registered nurses website, but no contact information was available, only the
25 county she's licensed in (Marin) and her license number," which is 9893,
26 according to the Board of Registered Nurses website. (Id.)

27 (May 10, 2010 Order at 1.)

28 As Plaintiff is proceeding in forma pauperis (IFP), he is responsible for providing the Court
with current addresses for all Defendants so that service can be accomplished. See Walker v.
Sumner, 14 F.3d 1415, 1422 (9th Cir. 1994); Sellers v. United States, 902 F.2d 598, 603 (7th Cir.
1990).

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m), if a complaint is not served within 120 days from the filing
of the complaint, it may be dismissed without prejudice for failure of service. When advised of a

1 problem accomplishing service, a pro se litigant proceeding IFP must "attempt to remedy any
2 apparent defects of which [he] has knowledge." Rochon v. Dawson, 828 F.2d 1107, 1110 (5th Cir.
3 1987).

4 In the May 10, 2010 Order, the Court directed Plaintiff to provide the Court -- within thirty
5 days of that Order -- with a current address for Defendant Ericcson or to confirm whether the "Dr.
6 Ericcson" he refers to in his complaint is actually Nurse Practitioner Patricia Erickson. Thirty days
7 have passed, and Plaintiff has failed to do so.

8 Accordingly, all claims against Defendant Ericcson are DISMISSED without prejudice under
9 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m).

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 DATED: 6/29/10


SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
United States District Judge

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4 OMARR L. BURNETT,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 FRAYNE et al,

8 Defendant.

Case Number: CV09-04693 SBA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

9 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
10 Court, Northern District of California.

11 That on June 30, 2010, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
12 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said
13 envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle
14 located in the Clerk's office.

15 O'Marr L. Burnett F-26842
16 Salinas Valley State Prison
17 P.O. Box 1050
18 Soledad, CA 93960-1050

19 Dated: June 30, 2010

20 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
21 By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk