

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

G. DANIEL WALKER,  
Plaintiff,

No. C 09-05225 SBA (PR)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

v.

A. HEDGPETH, et al.,  
Defendants.

---

11 Plaintiff, a state prisoner, has filed a pro se civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C.  
12 § 1983. He also seeks to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

13 The Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (PLRA) was enacted, and became effective, on  
14 April 26, 1996. It provides that a prisoner may not bring a civil action in forma pauperis under 28  
15 U.S.C. § 1915 "if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in  
16 any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the  
17 grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted,  
18 unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

19 For purposes of a dismissal that may be counted under § 1915(g), the phrase "fails to state a  
20 claim on which relief may be granted" parallels the language of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure  
21 12(b)(6) and carries the same interpretation, the word "frivolous" refers to a case that is "of little  
22 weight or importance: having no basis in law or fact," and the word "malicious" refers to a case  
23 "filed with the 'intention or desire to harm another.'" Andrews v. King, 398 F.3d 1113, 1121 (9th  
24 Cir. 2005) (citation omitted). Only cases within one of these three categories can be counted as  
25 strikes for § 1915(g) purposes. See id. Dismissal of an action under § 1915(g) should only occur  
26 when, "after careful evaluation of the order dismissing an [earlier] action, and other relevant  
27 information, the district court determines that the action was dismissed because it was frivolous,  
28 malicious or failed to state a claim." Id.

1            Andrews requires that the prisoner be given notice of the potential applicability of § 1915(g),  
2 by either the district court or the defendants, but also requires the prisoner to bear the ultimate  
3 burden of persuasion that § 1915(g) does not bar pauper status for him. Id. Andrews implicitly  
4 allows the Court to raise the § 1915(g) problem sua sponte, but requires the Court to notify the  
5 prisoner of the earlier dismissals it considers to support a § 1915(g) dismissal and allow the prisoner  
6 an opportunity to be heard on the matter before dismissing the action. See id. at 1120. A dismissal  
7 under § 1915(g) means that a prisoner cannot proceed with his action as a pauper under § 1915(g),  
8 but he still may pursue his claims if he pays the full filing fee at the outset of the action.

9            A review of the dismissal orders in Plaintiff's prior prisoner actions in the United States  
10 District Court for the Eastern District of California reveals that Plaintiff has had at least three such  
11 cases dismissed on the ground that they were frivolous, malicious, or failed to state a claim upon  
12 which relief may be granted. Plaintiff is now given notice that the Court believes the following  
13 dismissals may be counted as dismissals for purposes of § 1915(g): (1) Walker v. Mariano, et al.,  
14 No. CIV S-99-01862 LKK GGH P (E.D. Cal. Feb. 10, 2000) (civil rights complaint dismissed with  
15 leave to amend for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted and action dismissed for  
16 failure to amend); (2) Walker v. Carey, et al., No. CV F-99-06346 OWW DLB P (E.D. Cal. July 26,  
17 2002) (civil rights action dismissed for failure to state a claim); (3) Walker v. Starr, et al., No. CV F-  
18 99-06683 REC SMS (YNP) P (E.D. Cal. June 1, 2004) (civil rights complaint dismissed with leave  
19 to amend for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted and action dismissed for  
20 failure to amend); and (4) Walker v. Terhune, et al., No. CV F-00-05018 AWI WMW P (E.D. Cal.  
21 Sept. 13, 2004) (civil rights complaint dismissed with leave to amend for failure to state a claim  
22 upon which relief may be granted and action dismissed for failure to amend). Plaintiff therefore  
23 may proceed in forma pauperis only if he is seeking relief from a danger of serious physical injury  
24 which is "imminent" at the time of filing. See Abdul-Akbar v. McKelvie, 239 F.3d 307, 312 (3d Cir.  
25 2001) (en banc); Medberry v. Butler, 185 F.3d 1189, 1192-93 (11th Cir. 1999); Ashley v. Dilworth,  
26 147 F.3d 715, 717 (8th Cir. 1998); Banos v. O'Guin, 144 F.3d 883, 885 (5th Cir. 1998). He is not.

27            In light of these dismissals, and because Plaintiff does not appear to be under imminent  
28 danger of serious physical injury, he is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in writing no later than

1 **thirty (30) days** from the date of this Order why his request for in forma pauperis status should not  
2 be denied and this action should not be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). If Plaintiff is so  
3 inclined, he may avoid dismissal by paying the \$350.00 filing fee. In any event, the Court will  
4 continue to review under § 1915(g) all future actions filed by Plaintiff while he is incarcerated in  
5 which he seeks in forma pauperis status.

6 **Failure to file a timely response or failure to pay the full filing fee in will result in the**  
7 **dismissal of this action without further notice to Plaintiff.**

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 DATED: 11/18/09

*Saundra B. Armstrong*  
SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG  
United States District Judge

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 FOR THE  
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
4  
5

6 G. DANIEL WALKER,  
7 Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV09-05225 SBA

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

8 v.

9 A. HEDGPETH et al,

10 Defendant.  
11 \_\_\_\_\_/

12 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District  
13 Court, Northern District of California.

14 That on November 20, 2009, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said  
15 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said  
16 envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle  
17 located in the Clerk's office.

18 G. Daniel Walker  
19 B-54570  
20 Salinas Valley Prison  
21 Soledad, CA 93960

22 Dated: November 20, 2009

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk  
By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28