1		
2		
3		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4		NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5		
6		
7	ABSTRAX, I	NC.,
8		Plaintiff(s), No. C 09-5243 PJH
9	V.	PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 1
10	SUN MICRC	DSYSTEMS, INC.,
11		Defendant(s).
12		/
13	At the	e case management conference held in this matter on April 8, 2010, following
14	transfer from	the Eastern District of Texas, the court ordered as follows:
15	1.	The claim construction order and any other orders entered in the E.D. Texas
16		will continue to apply to this litigation, except the previously approved
17		protective order, which must be revised by the parties to comply with this
18		court's local rules and with 9th Circuit precedent as to standard and
19		procedure for obtaining leave to file any document under seal. The revised
20		stipulated protective order may be filed at any time, but the previously
21		approved order is not valid in this district going forward.
22	2.	All motions filed in the E.D. Texas, but not decided before transfer are
23		TERMINATED (Docket Nos. 77, 79, 105, 106, 107, 123, 125, 130). These
24		motions shall be revised to comply with this court's local rules in terms of
25		format and with 9th Circuit precedent, to the extent applicable in patent cases.
26	3.	The court will hear the discovery-related motions first. Plaintiff's motion to
27		compel discovery regarding the CDT system (Docket No. 79) and defendant's
28		motion for a protective order regarding this discovery (Docket No. 77) shall be

United States District Court For the Northern District of California revised and filed by May 10, 2010. The parties may stipulate to a briefing schedule for the filing of opposition and reply briefs. The motions will be decided on the papers without a hearing unless the court notifies the parties that one is necessary.

4. In the court's ruling on these discovery-related motions, the court will indicate a briefing and hearing schedule for the remaining motions which all need to be revised. As only one dispositive motion is permitted per party, defendant will need to combine its two summary judgment motions (Docket Nos. 123 and 130) into one, and its three *Daubert* motions (Docket Nos. 105, 106, 107) into one.

5. The parties are advised that the court will not approve the filing of the entirety of any brief under seal and that they should consult this court's standing order for sealed and confidential documents, and the 9th Circuit authority referenced therein, and Civil Local Rule 79-5, before filing any motion to seal in the future.

 This matter is referred to the ADR program for assignment to mediation, which should take place within 30 days of the court's ruling on the discoveryrelated motions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 9, 2010

PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge