1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PETER NEWSOM, et al.

Plaintiffs

v.

BANKERS ALLIANCE INC., et al.

Defendants

Case No.:4:09-cv-05288-SBA (NJV)

ORDER REQUIRING FURTHER BRIEFING ON PLAINTIFFS' SECOND MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT

Re: Dkt. No.: 121.

Plaintiffs Peter and Stephanie Newsom have filed a second motion for default judgment against defendants Julie Whiteside and Bankers' Alliance, which the district court referred to the undersigned. Doc. Nos. 121 & 122 On January 17, 2013, Julie Whiteside filed an opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for default judgment. Doc. No. 130. A hearing on Plaintiffs' motion has been set for February 26, 2013.

In anticipation of the hearing, the undersigned orders the parties to file the following declarations, under oath, on or before February 5, 2013:

Julie Whiteside shall address the following issues:

- 1. The date, location, manner and detailed content of all of her communications with any attorney who has represented Plaintiffs.
- 2. The address(es) at which she has resided or received mail since 2009.
- 3. Her relationship, if any, with Jamie Pantuso and/or the residence located at 2762

¹ Whiteside's motion is procedurally improper, since entry of default has cut off Whiteside's right to appear in the action. *See* Doc. No. 129 (Order Striking Defendant Whiteside's Motion To Dismiss and instructing her to file a motion to set aside entry of default). The undersigned construes Whiteside's opposition to the motion for default judgment as a motion to set aside default.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Filbert Street in San Francisco.

- 4. How she learned that she was still a participant in this lawsuit in time to file her opposition to the motion for default judgment (Doc. No. 130).
- 5. Any prejudice she would suffer if the district court were to allow the case to proceed against her at this time.

Plaintiffs' counsel, Michael Rooney, shall address the following issues:

- Why he failed to attempt serve Whiteside within the thirty-day time frame ordered by the district court in its September 5, 2012 order. Compare Doc. No. 109, with Doc. No. 114.
- How he determined that Whiteside should be served at 2762 Filbert Street in San Francisco rather than at the address at which he had previously successfully served Whiteside (1212 Stearns St. in Los Angeles). See Doc. 131; see also Doc. No. 121, Ex. 10. Counsel should address why he believed that "Joanne M. Whiteside" was, in fact, Julie Whiteside and why Mr. Pantuso was Ms. Whiteside's brother-in-law. In particular, counsel should explain how he determined service there was proper given Mr. Pantuso's representation to the process server on October 22, 2012, that he had "never heard of [Whiteside] before." Doc. No. 121, Ex. 10.
- The date, location, manner and content of all of his communications with Whiteside, including any representations by Whiteside that she would not defend the action.
- How counsel determined that Whiteside "understood the case was pending against her" when he deposed her. Doc. No. 101.
- The factual basis for his representation to the district court that "Whiteside could not be found for the majority of this case, despite all good faith efforts by counsel to do so. Julie Whiteside had moved several times." Doc. No. 106.
- How counsel calculates Plaintiffs' damages (\$193,985.06), identifying each category of damage and setting out the calculation of each category. The undersigned notes that this is the identical amount Plaintiffs requested in their first motion for default judgment, and subsequently revised after this court ordered further briefing on the issue

United States District Court Northern District of California

1	of damages. <i>Compare</i> Doc. No. 95 at 3 (seeking \$63,939 in closing costs), with Doc.
2	No. 41 at (seeking \$41,408 in closing costs).
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	
6	Dated: January 22, 2013
7	
8	
9	Nandor J. Vadas United States Magistrate Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	