

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5 OAKLAND DIVISION
6

7 HARTFORD FIRE INSURANCE
8 COMPANY,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 NBC GENERAL CONTRACTORS
12 CORPORATION, a California
13 Corporation; MONICA UNG, an
14 individual; and DENNIS CHOW,
15 an individual,

16 Defendants.

Case No: C 09-5363 SBA

**ORDER GRANTING
MOTION TO REOPEN
THE CASE**

Docket 110

17 On November 13, 2009, Plaintiff Hartford Fire Insurance Company ("Hartford")
18 commenced the instant action against NBC General Contractors Corporation ("NBC"),
19 Monica Ung ("Ung"), and Dennis Chow ("Chow") alleging claims for breach of indemnity
20 agreement, specific performance, injunctive relief, and *quia timet*. Compl., Dkt. 1. On
21 February 25, 2013, the Court granted summary judgment in favor of Hartford on its breach
22 of indemnity agreement claim against Chow in the amount of \$7,176,188.18. Dkt. 104. On
23 March 5, 2013, the Court issued an Order dismissing the remaining claims alleged in the
24 complaint against Chow and entered final judgment against Chow on Hartford's breach of
25 indemnity agreement claim. Dkt. 107. The Court also administratively closed the case in
26 light of the automatic stay issued in the respective bankruptcy cases filed by NBC and Ung.
27 Id. The Court directed the parties to immediately notify the Court in the event that either
28 stay is lifted and to specify their request for further handling of this action. Id.

On May 15, 2013, Hartford filed a motion to reopen the case. Dkt. 110. Hartford
argues that reopening the case is warranted because "the Bankruptcy Court entered an order

1 granting Hartford's motion to lift the automatic stay so that Hartford could enforce its civil
2 remedies against . . . Ung and proceed to judgment against . . . Ung in this Action." Curran
3 Decl. ¶ 14, Dkt. 110. Under Civil Local Rule 7-3, any opposition to Hartford's motion was
4 due by no later than fourteen days after the motion was filed, i.e., May 29, 2013. This
5 Court's Standing Orders specifically warn that "[t]he failure of the opposing party to file a
6 memorandum of points and authorities in opposition to any motion shall constitute a
7 consent to the granting of the motion." Civil Standing Orders at 4. To date, no opposition
8 has been filed. Having read and considered the papers filed in connection with Hartford's
9 motion, the Court finds that good cause exists to reopen the case.

10 Accordingly,

11 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 12 1. Hartford's motion to reopen the case is GRANTED.
- 13 2. The Clerk shall reopen the case.
- 14 3. This Order terminates Docket 110.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: 5/30/13

17 
18 SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
19 United States District Judge
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28