

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 BRILLIANT INSTRUMENTS, INC.,

No. C 09-05517 CW

5 Plaintiff,

ORDER DENYING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION
FOR LEAVE TO FILE
A MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION
(Docket No. 140)

6 v.

7 GUIDETECH, INC. and RONEN SIGURA,

8 Defendants.

9 _____/
10 AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS
11 _____/

12 Defendants GuideTech LLC and Ronen Sigura move for leave to
13 file a motion for reconsideration of the Court's August 11, 2011
14 Order on Claim Construction, Granting Brilliant's Motion for
15 Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement and Denying as Moot
16 GuideTech's Motion for Summary Adjudication on the Issue of
17 Assignor Estoppel. Defendants contend that there was a manifest
18 failure by the Court to consider material facts and dispositive
19 legal arguments in its August 11 Order. See Civ. L.R. 7-9(3).

20 Having considered Defendants' papers, the Court DENIES their
21 motion for leave. (Docket No. 140.) The portions of Dr. Burnell
22 G. West's report and the BI200 Datasheet cited by Defendants were
23 considered by the Court when it ruled on Brilliant's motion for
24 summary judgment.

25 The Clerk shall enter judgment forthwith and close the file.

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Dated: 9/6/2011

28 
CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge