1 2	KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP THEODORE T. HERHOLD (State Bar No. 122895) ROBERT J. ARTUZ (State Bar No. 227789)			
3	BYRON R. CHIN (State Bar No. 259846) 379 Lytton Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94301 Telephone: (650) 326-2400			
4				
5	Facsimile: (650) 326-2422 Email: therhold@kilpatricktownsend.com			
6	rartuz@kilpatricktownsend.com bchin@kilpatricktownsend.com			
7	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant GUIDETECH, LLC			
8				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION			
11				
12	DDILLIANT INGEDITATING ING	C N C00 05517 CW (ICC)		
13	BRILLIANT INSTRUMENTS, INC.,	Case No. C09-05517 CW (JCS)		
14 15	Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, v.	STIPULATED REQUEST TO EXTEND BRIEFING DEADLINES AND HEARING DATE FOR BRILLIANT'S		
16	GUIDETECH, INC.	MOTION FOR ATTORNEY FEES AND NON-TAXABLE COSTS, AND ORDER		
17	Defendant and Counterclaimant.			
18				
19	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNE	EYS OF RECORD:		
20	Plaintiff and Counterdefendant Brilliant Instruments, Inc. ("Brilliant"), and			
21	Defendant and Counterclaimant GuideTech, LLC ("GuideTech"), hereby submit their Stipulated			
22	Request to Extend Briefing Deadlines and Hearing Date.			
23	On September 20, 2011, Brilliant filed its Motion for Attorney Fees and Non-Taxable			
24				
25	Costs (35 U.S.C. § 285) (Dkt. 147), and its Bill of Costs (Dkt. 145). On September 23, 2011, pursuant to the Clerk's Notice of September 21, 2011 to re-notice the hearing date for a Thursday,			
26		·		
27	Brilliant re-noticed the hearing date for its Motion for Attorney Fees for Thursday, October 27,			
28	2011 (DKt. 159). This hearing date was confi	rmed by a docket entry on September 26, 2011.		



Under the present schedule, GuideTech's Opposition to Brilliant's Motion shall be filed by October 4, 2011, and Brilliant's Reply shall be filed by October 11, 2011. GuideTech's Objection to the Bill of Costs shall be filed by October 4, 2011.

GuideTech asked Brilliant if it was willing to extend the briefing deadlines, and reschedule the hearing date for its Motion to accommodate the schedule of GuideTech's lead counsel. After conferring on potential dates and consulting the Court's calendar, the parties have agreed upon a new hearing date of November 17, 2011. The parties have also agreed to extend the briefing deadlines to provide GuideTech with an extra two weeks to file its Opposition and Objection, and to provide Brilliant with an extra week to file its Reply.

The Court has granted two previous time modifications in this case. First, the Court granted in part an extension of claim construction briefing under the Patent Local Rules. (Dkt. 79.) The Court also granted the parties' joint request to move the trial date and pretrial briefing. (Dkt. 136.) The present time modification would have no impact on the schedule of the case; the Court has granted summary judgment of noninfringment in favor of Brilliant (Dkt. 137), and entered judgment in favor of Brilliant and against GuideTech (Dkt 142).

Accordingly, pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, the parties stipulate to the following briefing and hearing schedule, and seek an Order from the Court approving the stipulation:

GuideTech's Opposition shall be filed and served on October 18, 2011.

Brilliant's Reply shall be filed and served on November 1, 2011.

Brilliant's Motion for Attorney Fees and Non-Taxable Costs (35 U.S.C. § 285) shall be heard by the Court on November 17, 2011.

DATED: September 27, 2011 Respectfully submitted,

SILICON EDGE LAW GROUP LLP KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP

By: /s/ Thomas W. Lathram By: /s/ Byron R. Chin
Thomas W. Lathram Byron R. Chin

Attorneys for Brilliant Instruments, Inc. Attorneys for GuideTech LLC



1	D	LIDCLIANT TO CTIDLI	I ATION IT IS SO ODDEDED. Motion will be decided on th
2 3		apers.	LATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Motion will be decided on th
4	Dated: _	9/28/2011	Chidealeit
5			Claudia Wilken United Stated District Judge
6			Office Stated District stage
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			



1	ATTESTATION CLAUSE REGARDING SIGNATURES			
2				
3	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under penalty			
4	of perjury that I have on file permission to	of perjury that I have on file permission to sign for counsel, as indicated by a "conformed" signature		
5	(/s/) within this e-filed document.			
6	DATED: September 27, 2011	Respectfully submitted,		
7		KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP		
8 9		By:Byron R. Chin BYRON R. CHIN		
10		Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant GUIDETECH LLC		
11				
12	63740313 v1			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22 23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
20	`			

