WHEREAS, PLAINTIFFS filed their Complaint in this Court on November 24, 2009 ("Complaint") alleging causes of action for violations of the California franchise investment law and the California unfair business practices law, fraud and deceit, negligent misrepresentation, breach of contract, and for declaratory relief against Defendants JUNGLE QUEST FRANCHING LLC, WILLIAM E. GABBARD, KEVIN P. HEIN, JAMES S. MOLLOY, and DOUGLAS W. ROOT (collectively "JUNGLE QUEST") and causes of action for negligence, negligent mispresentation, unfair business practices and declaratory relief against PRETI.

WHEREAS, the PLAINTIFFS and JUNGLE QUEST have reached an agreement to settle the causes of action asserted by PLAINTIFFS against JUNGLE QUEST in the Complaint and that settlement is contingent upon JUNGLE QUEST's payment of certain settlement proceeds and certain other conditions.

WHEREAS, the PLAINTIFFS and PRETI have also reached an agreement in which the Plaintiffs will conditionally dismiss their action against PRETI, which dismissal will become final on the settlement with JUNGLE QUEST becoming final.

WHEREAS the Parties have agreed to a conditional dismissal of this matter without prejudice. This dismissal is subject to the following alternative conditions:

- (1) Should the settlement between PLAINTIFFS and JUNGLE QUEST conclude,
 PLAINTIFFS will file a stipulation for dismissal of its causes of action against
 PRETI upon receipt of the settlement proceeds in accordance with the terms of
 the settlement agreement with JUNGLE QUEST;
- (2) Should the settlement between PLAINTIFFS and JUNGLE QUEST fail, the case as between PLAINTIFFS and PRETI shall be reinstated to the Court's docket within 60 days following this Court's entry of its order approving this Stipulation.

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to vacate current response deadlines and all other case management deadlines. Should the settlement fail, the case as between PLAINTIFFS and PRETI shall be reinstated to the Court's docket pursuant to the conditions described above, at

1	which time the Parties will jointly contact the Court to re-set the response deadline and all other	
2	necessary case management deadlines.	
3		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
5		
6	DATED: April 20, 2010 Respectfully submitted,	
7	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP	
8	By A	
9	Alex Graft Attorneys for Preti	
10		
11	DATED: April 20, 2010 LAGARIAS & BOULTER, LLP	
12	1,211	
13	Robert S. Boulter	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs IAN INMAN, RICHARD INMAN, DIANE INMAN, AND JUNGLE PULSE, INC.	
15		
16		
17		
18	<u>ORDER</u>	
19		
20	HEREBY ORDERED that:	
21	1. The Stipulation for Conditional Dismissal and Vacation of all Pending Case	
22	Management Deadlines between Defendant PRETI, FLAHERTY, BELIEVEAU,	
23	PACHIOS & HALEY, LLP, on the one hand, and Plaintiffs IAN INMAN, RICHARD	
24	INMAN, DIANE INMAN, and JUNGLE PULSE, INC. (collectively "PLAINTIFFS"), on the	
25	other hand, is GRANTED.	
26	2. All pending case management and other deadlines are hereby VACATED.	
27	3. In the event the Parties do not file a Stipulation of Dismissal With Prejudice	
28	dismissing PLAINTIFFS' causes of action against PRETI within 60 days following the entry of	
	stipulation and [proposed] order for conditional dismissal and vacation of all pending case management deadlines - 3 -	

this ORDER, the case as between PLAINTIFFS and PRETI shall be reinstated, and the Parties shall jointly contact the Court to re-set PRETI's response deadline and all other case management deadlines.

Dated: 4/22/2010

Hon. Claudia Wilkin

6

rsb@lb-attorneys.com

pcl@lb-attorneys.com

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

FEDERAL COURT PROOF OF SERVICE

Inman, et al. v. Jungle Quest Franchising, LLC, et al. U.S.D.C., Northern District, Case No. C 09 5584

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to the action. My business address is One Sansome Street, Suite 1400, San Francisco, California 94104. I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

On April 21, 2010, I served the following document(s): STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR CONDITIONAL DISMISSAL AND VACATION OF ALL PENDING CASE MANAGEMENT DEADLINES

I served the documents on the following persons at the following addresses (including fax numbers and e-mail addresses, if applicable):

Counsel for Plaintiffs	Counsel for Defendants JUNGLE QUEST
Robert S. Boulter, Esq.	FRANCHISING LLC, WILLIAM E.
Peter C. Lagarias, Esq.	GABBARD, KEVIN P. HEIN, JAMES S.
LAGARIAŠ & BOULTER, LLP	MOLLOY and DOUGLAS W. ROOT
1629 Fifth Avenue	Sean Baker, Esq.
San Rafael, CA 94901-1828	Andrew Unthank, Esq.
T: (415) 460-0100; F: (415) 460-1099	Wheeler, Trigg, O'Donnell, LLP

Wheeler, Trigg, O'Donnell, LLP 1801 California Street, Suite 3600 Denver, CO 80202-2617 T: (303) 244-1600; F; (303) 244-1879 baker@wtotrial.com unthank@wtotrial.com

The documents were served by the following means:

- (BY U.S. MAIL) I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the [X]persons at the addresses listed above and (specify one):
- Deposited the sealed envelope or package with the U.S. Postal Service, with the postage fully prepaid.
- Placed the envelope or package for collection and mailing, following our ordinary [X]business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, on the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the U.S. Postal Service, in a sealed envelope of package with the postage fully prepaid.
- [X] (BY COURT'S CM/ECF SYSTEM) Pursuant to Local Rule, I electronically filed the documents with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which sent notification of that filing to the persons listed above.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the above is true and correct.

Executed on April 21, 2010, at San Francisco, California.