

21 Thus, in the interest of conservation of judicial resources, the court will determine
22 whether there is indeed any admissible evidence to put before the jury in support of the
23 § 1981 retaliation claim. Upon further consideration, the court concludes that the THC
24 defendants' motion to file a second motion for summary judgment on the sole remaining
25 claim (the § 1981 retaliation claim) should be GRANTED.

The motion shall be filed no later than June 1, 2011. The opposition shall be filed 14 days thereafter, and the reply shall be filed 7 days after the filing of the opposition. Given that the court has already conducted two hearings on various motions in this case, the

motion will likely be decided on the papers. The court will contact counsel if it finds that a hearing is required.

The date for the trial, presently set for May 23, 2011, will be CONTINUED to a date to be set by the court should the THC defendants' motion be denied. If the trial goes forward, it will be governed by the final pretrial order filed separately this day.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 2, 2011

PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California