

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. C 09-5796 CW

TODD ASHKER, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.

EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., et al.,
Defendants.

ORDER GRANTING IN
PART PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION TO AMEND
THE SCHEDULE AND
DENYING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
FOR LEAVE TO FILE
A MOTION FOR
PARTIAL SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
(Docket Nos. 331,
334)

I. Motion to Amend the Schedule

On November 13, 2014, Plaintiffs Todd Ashker, et al., filed a motion for administrative relief asking the Court to amend the previous case management schedule and to set new dates for discovery and briefing on case-dispositive motions. Docket No. 331. Defendants, Governor Edmund G. Brown, et al., have not responded to the motion. The Court has reviewed the motion and grants it in part.

The Court now sets the following amended schedule:

Event	Existing Date	Amended Date
Completion of Fact Discovery	11/28/14	12/29/14 (extension only for depositions)
Disclosure of Identities and Reports of Expert Witnesses	1/30/15	2/13/15

1	Rebuttal Expert Reports	2/27/15	3/13/15
2	Completion of Expert Discovery	5/1/15	5/15/15
3	Plaintiffs' Opening Brief on Motion for Summary Judgment	7/2/15	7/2/15
4			
5	Defendants' Opposition and Cross-Motion (contained within a single brief)	7/30/15	7/30/15
6			
7			
8	Plaintiffs' Reply/Opposition (contained within a single brief)	8/20/15	8/20/15
9			
10	Defendants' Reply	8/27/15	8/27/15
11	Further Case Management Conference and Case-Dispositive Motion Deadline	9/17/15	9/17/15
12			
13	Final Pretrial Conference	11/18/15	11/18/15
14	Trial	12/7/15	12/7/15

15 The Court will not alter the dates for case-dispositive
16 motions, the pretrial conference or trial. If the parties agree,
17 they may stipulate to change any discovery or briefing deadlines
18 that do not impact these dates. Any modification to the briefing
19 schedule must ensure that the cross-motions for summary judgment
20 are fully briefed at least three weeks before the hearing date.


21 II. Motion for Leave to File a Motion for Partial Summary
22 Judgment
23 Plaintiffs have also filed an administrative motion for leave
24 to file a motion for partial summary judgment. Docket No. 334.
25 Defendants oppose the motion. Having considered the parties'
26 papers and the record in the case, the Court DENIES the motion.
27 If the parties can agree to a stipulated briefing schedule, the
28 Court will hear all motions for summary judgment on an earlier

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

date. The Court will not hear multiple motions for summary judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 20, 2014



CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge