

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION

TODD ASHKER, *et al.*,  
  
Plaintiffs,  
  
v.  
  
GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF  
CALIFORNIA, *et al.*,  
  
Defendants.

Case No.: 4:09-cv-05796-CW

**CLASS ACTION**

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL**

Date: September 18, 2017  
Time: 10:00 a.m.  
Location: Courtroom D, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Judge: Honorable Nandor J. Vadas

1 The Court has received Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to File Under Seal, and the  
2 Declaration of Carmen E. Bremer in support of the same. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(a),  
3 Plaintiffs have shown that the portions of the documents to be sealed are entitled to protection  
4 under the law because they contain confidential information that Defendants claim could harm  
5 CDCR institutional safety and security if disclosed. *See Dugan v. Lloyds TSB Bank, PLC*, No.  
6 12-cv-02549-WHA (NJV), 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 51162, at \*5 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 9, 2013)  
7 (finding that good cause may exist to seal records "if disclosure of the information might harm  
8 a litigant's competitive standing"). Plaintiffs have met the "good cause" standard for sealing  
9 portions of Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Enforcement Motion Challenging the RCGP  
10 Placement of Class Member ("Plaintiffs' Reply"), together with Exhibits I and J thereto,  
11 because Plaintiffs have shown that they contain confidential information that Defendants claim  
12 would harm institutional safety and security, and would further compromise ongoing  
13 investigations of alleged prison gang activity if disclosed. *See Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of*  
14 *Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006).

15 Having considered Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to File Under Seal and the  
16 Declaration of Carmen E. Bremer in support of same, and good cause appearing therefor,  
17 Plaintiffs' Motion is hereby GRANTED.

18  
19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20  
21 Dated: August 30, 2017

22 By:   
Honorable Nandor J. Vadas  
United States Magistrate Judge

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28