

Dockets.Justia.com

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

PETROLIAM NASIONAL BERHAD (PETRONAS),

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 09-CV-5939PJH

vs.

GODADDY.COM, INC.,

Defendant.

::: CONFIDENTIAL :::

30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF JESSICA HANYEN

DATE: Wednesday, October 12, 2011

TIME: 11:58 a.m.

LOCATION: BALLARD SPAHR, LLP 1 East Washington Street, Suite 2300 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

REPORTED BY: JANICE HARRINGTON, RPR, CRR, CLR AZ Certified Court Reporter No. 50844 Registered Professional Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter Certified LiveNote Reporter

MBreporting

111 Deerwood Road, Suite 200

San Ramon, California 94583

Page	2

		Pa
1	::: APPEARANCES :::	
2		
3	FOR PETROLIAM NASIONAL BERHAD (PETRONAS) PLAINTIFF:	
4	Law Offices of Perry R. Clark By: Perry R. Clark, Attorney At Law	
5	825 San Antonio Road Palo Alto, California 94303	
6	(650) 248-5817 perry@perryclarklaw.com	
7	perry@perrycrarkraw.com	
8	FOR GODADDY.COM, INC., DEFENDANT:	
9	Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati By: David L. Lansky, Attorney At Law	
10	650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1050	
11	(650) 320-4776	
12	dlansky@wsgr.com:	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 3 ::: INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS ::: EXAMINATION BY: PAGE MR. CLARK б ::: INDEX OF REQUESTS ::: PAGE LINE REQUEST None 

	Page 17
1	Q. Okay. And the section of that says,
2	"Determine if the website is hosted at one of the Go
3	Daddy group's companies by checking the IP address."
4	Do you see that?
5	A. Yes.
б	Q. How would someone at Go Daddy applying
7	this policy determine if a website is hosted at one
8	of the Go Daddy group companies?
9	A. We would basically do what's called a
10	Whois look up. And it is not one that's specific to
11	the registrant information like a standard one. This
12	one is specific for hosting. That would tell us what
13	company that the domain name or the website is hosted
14	with.
15	If it was showing that it was hosted with
16	a Go Daddy IP address once we did that look-up, we
17	would then check our tools to see if we can identify
18	the account which would tell us with 100% certainty
19	that it is hosted with our company.
20	Q. Okay. And then on Section 1.1.1 which is
21	not hosted, send "Not hosted template to the
22	complainant." Do you see that?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. If Go Daddy applying this policy
25	determines that the website is not hosted at Go
I	

Page 18

1 Daddy, what does Go Daddy do?

2 Well, the not hosted template sent out to Α. the complainant, that contains advice that if they 3 wish to address the website content, that they need 4 5 to address it to the hosting provider. And it also 6 advises them that if this is a domain name specific 7 issue, that they would need to refer to the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy. 8 9 Okay. Now, if Go Daddy determines that a Ο. website is not hosted, will Go Daddy go through the 10 process in Section 1.2 of this policy of determining 11 12 if the trademark is registered? 13 Α. No. Okay. And Go Daddy also if it determines 14 0. 15 that a website is not hosted at Go Daddy, it will not go through the process 1.3 of determining if the 16 claim infringement is identifiable? 17 18 Α. Correct, yes. 19 And it also won't go through the process Ο. in 1.4 of determining if the claim is complete? 20 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. And then it won't go through step Ο. 23 1.5 of opening a valid trademark claim? 24 Α. Correct. 25 Okay. So with respect to complaints Ο.

Page 19 regarding websites that Go Daddy determines are not 1 hosted by Go Daddy, Go Daddy doesn't investigate 2 whether or not there is identifiable trademark 3 infringement; is that correct? 4 5 MR. LANSKY: Object to the form. Asked 6 and answered. 7 THE WITNESS: Since it's not actionable, we don't make a determination. 8 9 BY MR. CLARK: 10 Okay. So if a website is not hosted at Ο. Go Daddy, there very well may be trademark 11 12 infringement; is that correct? 13 MR. LANSKY: Object to the form. 14 There could be something THE WITNESS: 15 that would be identified by the hosting company as trademark infringement, but we don't make a 16 determination as to whether or not that it exists. 17 18 We simply give them the proper channels to pursue the 19 issue. BY MR. CLARK: 20 21 Okay. And do you know why Go Daddy will 0. not -- Go Daddy doesn't carry out any of these steps 22 23 regarding trademark infringement if the website is 24 not hosted by Go Daddy? 25 Object to the form. MR. LANSKY:

Page 28 1 Α. Yes. 2 So Go Daddy wouldn't have -- in Ο. 3 evaluating the complaint for petronastowers.net, Go Daddy wouldn't have considered the domain name 4 5 petronastower.net had been ordered transferred by a 6 court? No, because it would have been the same 7 Α. thing where we would have followed our standard 8 9 operating procedure. And it wouldn't have mattered that the 10 Ο. 11 domain name petronastower.net was transferred by a 12 court because the court found trademark infringement? 13 Α. No. 14 MR. LANSKY: Object to the form. 15 BY MR. CLARK: And it wouldn't matter that the Ο. 16 17 petronastower.net domain name listed the same 18 registrant? 19 MR. LANSKY: Object to the form. 20 THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. CLARK: 21 22 And that it was forwarding to the same Ο. website? 23 24 MR. LANSKY: Object to the form. 25 THE WITNESS: No.

Page 51

1 pretty steady.

2 Q. Okay. And do you have any idea how many 3 trademark infringement claims Go Daddy receives each 4 year?

5 A. I don't know a specific number, but it is 6 in the thousands.

Q. And of those claims -- I'm sorry if you
already told me this, but what's your estimate of how
many of those relate to hosted accounts?

10

A. Actionable claims are in the hundreds.

Q. Okay. And of the actionable claims, give a sense of what percentage of those actually result in a hosting account being cancelled or suspended?

A. It would be suspended and any actionable claim that we get a valid complete complaint for we're going to take action on. So a majority, vast majority. I couldn't really give you a specific number.

19 Q. And when you have the -- when there is a 20 valid trademark claim for a hosted website, the Go 21 Daddy customer has the opportunity to provide a 22 counter-notification; is that correct?

23 A. Yes.

Q. And can you describe what acounter-notification is?

1 Yes. Counter-notification is actually on Α. 2 Exhibit 16. And basically what they have to do is they have to provide 1A through 1D. If they provide 3 1A through 1D, then in 10 business days if we don't 4 5 receive anything from the complainant to prevent us 6 from reinstating the site or removing the suspension, 7 then the suspension is removed. Okay. And do you have a sense of in what 8 Ο. percentage of the cases where an account is suspended 9 10 the suspension is removed after counter-notification? 11 It's extremely low. Α. 12 Ο. In implementing this trademark policy for hosted content, does it require that Go Daddy 13 actually determine whether or not a particular hosted 14 15 website is infringing a trademark? Can you clarify that a little? 16 Α. In other words, is what Go Daddy's doing 17 0. under this trademark policy, is it actually looking 18 19 at complaints and determining whether or not there actually is infringement or something else? 20 21 MR. LANSKY: Object to the form. 22 THE WITNESS: We're determining whether 23 or not we've got all the elements that we need in 24 order to take action. We're determining that we can 25 actually view the trademark. We're determining that

Page 52

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Janice E. Harrington, Certified Court
4	Reporter for the State of Arizona, certify:
5	That the foregoing deposition was taken
6	by me; that I am authorized to administer an oath;
7	that the witness, before testifying, was duly sworn
8	by me to testify to the whole truth; that the
9	questions propounded by counsel and the answers of
10	the witness were taken down by me in shorthand and
11	thereafter reduced to print by computer-aided
12	transcription under my direction; that deposition
13	review and signature was requested; that the
14	foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate
15	transcript of all proceedings and testimony had upon
16	the taking of said deposition, all to the best of my
17	skill and ability.
18	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way
19	related to nor employed by any of the parties hereto
20	nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof.
21	DATED this 25th day of October, 2011
22	
23	Janice E. Harrington
24	Certified Court Reporter No. 50844 For the State of Arizona
25	

APP182.1