

Dockets.Justia.com

	Case4:09-cv-05939-PJH Document106	Filed07/28/11 Page1 of 16
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JOHN L. SLAFSKY, State Bar No. 195513 DAVID H. KRAMER, State Bar No. 168452 HOLLIS BETH HIRE, State Bar No. 203651 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 493-6811 jslafsky@wsgr.com dkramer@wsgr.com hhire@wsgr.com	
	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
11) CASE NO: 09-CV-5939 PJH
12	PETROLIAM NASIONAL BERHAD,)
13	Plaintiff,)) AMENDED ANSWER AND
14	VS.) COUNTERCLAIM)
15	GODADDY.COM, INC.,)) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
16	Defendant.)
17		_)
18	GODADDY.COM, INC.,)
19	Counterclaimant,	
20	VS.)
21	PETROLIAM NASIONAL BERHAD,	
22	Counterclaim Defendant.	
23		_)
24		
25	ANSV	VER
26	Defendant and Counterclaimant GoDaddy	.com, Inc. ("Go Daddy"), by and through its
27	attorneys, hereby answers the First Amended Con	pplaint ("Complaint") of Plaintiff and
28	Counterclaim Defendant Petroliam Nasional Berh	ad ("Plaintiff" or "Petronas") as follows:
	AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNTRATION COUNTRATICOU COUNTU	009

	Case4:09-cv-05939-PJH Document106 Filed07/28/11 Page2 of 16					
1	THE PARTIES					
2	1. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in					
3	paragraph 1 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.					
4	2. Go Daddy admits the allegations as set forth in paragraph 2 of the Complaint.					
5	JURISDICTION AND VENUE					
6	3. Go Daddy admits that this action purportedly arises under the Lanham Act and that the					
7	Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims asserted in the Complaint. Go Daddy lacks					
8	sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 3 of the					
9	Complaint and on that basis denies them.					
10	4. Go Daddy denies the allegations as set forth in paragraph 4 of the Complaint.					
11	5. Go Daddy denies the allegations as set forth in paragraph 5 of the Complaint.					
12	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT					
13	6. Go Daddy admits the allegations as set forth in paragraph 6 of the Complaint.					
14	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS					
15	7. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in					
16	paragraph 7 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.					
17	8. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in					
18	paragraph 8 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.					
19	9. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in					
20	paragraph 9 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.					
21	10. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in					
22	paragraph 10 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.					
23	11. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in					
24	paragraph 11 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.					
25	12. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in					
26	paragraph 12 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.					
27	13. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in					
28	paragraph 13 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.					
	-2-					
	AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNTERPAINP003010 Case No: 09-CV-5939 PJH					

14. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in
 2 paragraph 14 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.

15. Go Daddy admits that according to the official website for the Internet Corporation for
Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN), ICANN is responsible for managing and coordinating
the Domain Name System. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 15
of the Complaint.

7 16. Go Daddy admits that Verisign is the registry operator for ".com" and ".net" domain
8 names. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 16 of the Complaint.
9 The "Registry Agreement" speaks for itself.

10 17. Go Daddy admits that Verisign maintains a database of registered".net" domain names
11 and any Internet Protocol addresses provided for issued ".net" domain names. Go Daddy denies
12 the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 17 of the Complaint.

13 18. Go Daddy admits that Verisign receives information about domain name registrations
14 from registrars, and that registrars enter into registration accreditation agreements with ICANN.
15 Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 18 of the Complaint. The
16 agreements speak for themselves.

17 19. Go Daddy admits that it has entered into a registrar accreditation agreement with
18 ICANN. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 19 of the Complaint.
19 20. Go Daddy admits that it has entered into a registrar accreditation agreement with
20 ICANN. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 20 of the Complaint.
21 The agreement speaks for itself.

22 21. Go Daddy admits that it has entered into a registrar accreditation agreement with
23 ICANN. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 21 of the Complaint.
24 The agreement speaks for itself.

25 22. Go Daddy admits that it has entered into a registrar accreditation agreement with
26 ICANN. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 22 of the Complaint.
27 The agreement speaks for itself.

28

Case4:09-cv-05939-PJH Document106 Filed07/28/11 Page4 of 16

1	23. Go Daddy admits that it has entered into a registrar accreditation agreement with				
2	ICANN. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 23 of the Complaint.				
3	The agreement speaks for itself.				
4	24. Go Daddy admits that it has entered into a registrar accreditation agreement with				
5	ICANN. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 24 of the Complaint.				
6	The agreement speaks for itself.				
7	25. Go Daddy admits that it has entered into a registrar accreditation agreement with				
8	ICANN. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 25 of the Complaint.				
9	The agreement speaks for itself.				
10	26. Go Daddy admits that it has entered into a registrar accreditation agreement with				
11	ICANN. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 26 of the Complaint.				
12	The agreement speaks for itself.				
13	27. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 27 of the Complaint.				
14	28. Go Daddy admits that it offers a domain name hosting service. Go Daddy denies the				
15	remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 28 of the Complaint.				
16	29. Go Daddy admits that it offers a domain name forwarding service. Go Daddy denies				
17	the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 29 of the Complaint.				
18	30. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 30 of the Complaint.				
19	31. Go Daddy admits the allegations set forth in paragraph 31 of the Complaint.				
20	32. Go Daddy admits that it entered into a domain name registration agreement with the				
21	registrant of the <petronastower.net> and <petronastowers.net> domain names. Go Daddy denies</petronastowers.net></petronastower.net>				
22	the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 32 of the Complaint.				
23	33. Go Daddy admits that ICANN has a registrar transfer dispute resolution policy. Go				
24	Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 33 of the Complaint.				
25	34. Go Daddy admits that it has a trademark and/or copyright infringement policy. Go				
26	Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 34 of the Complaint.				
27	35. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in				
28	paragraph 35 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.				
	-4-				
	AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNCEPPAPP003012 Case No: 09-CV-5939 PJH				

Case4:09-cv-05939-PJH Document106 Filed07/28/11 Page5 of 16

1	36. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in				
2	paragraph 36 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.				
3	37. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in				
4	paragraph 37 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.				
5	38. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in				
6	paragraph 38 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.				
7	39. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in				
8	paragraph 39 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.				
9	40. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in				
10	paragraph 40 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.				
11	41. Go Daddy admits that it was contacted on November 26, 2009 concerning the domain				
12	name <petronastower.net>. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 41</petronastower.net>				
13	of the Complaint.				
14	42. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in				
15	paragraph 42 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.				
16	43. Go Daddy admits the allegations set forth in paragraph 43 of the Complaint.				
17	44. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in				
18	paragraph 44 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.				
19	45. Go Daddy admits that it was contacted on November 26, 2009 concerning the domain				
20	name <petronastower.net>. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 45</petronastower.net>				
21	of the Complaint.				
22	46. Go Daddy admits that it sent an e-mail message concerning the domain name				
23	<pre><petronastower.net> on or about December 1, 2009. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations</petronastower.net></pre>				
24	set forth in paragraph 46 of the Complaint.				
25	47. Go Daddy admits that it was contacted on December 14, 2009 concerning the domain				
26	name <petronastower.net>. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 47</petronastower.net>				
27	of the Complaint.				
28					
	-5- AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNTERED AMO COUNTERED AND COUNTERED AND COUNTERED AMO COUNTERED AMO COUNTERED AMO COUNTERED				

Case4:09-cv-05939-PJH Document106 Filed07/28/11 Page6 of 16

1 48. Go Daddy admits that it was contacted concerning the domain name 2 <petronastower.net> on December 16, 2009. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth 3 in paragraph 48 of the Complaint. 4 49. Go Daddy admits that on December 16, 2009 it sent an e-mail message concerning the 5 domain name <petronastower.net>. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in 6 paragraph 49 of the Complaint. 7 50. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in paragraph 50 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them. 8 9 51. Go Daddy admits that plaintiff requested a temporary restraining order of December 10 18, 2009, and that the request was denied on December 23, 2009. Go Daddy denies the remaining 11 allegations set forth in paragraph 51 of the Complaint. 12 52. Go Daddy admits that plaintiff filed an in rem action against the domain name 13 <petronastower.net> on January 29, 2010, and that the in rem action resulted in an order 14 transferring the domain name <petronastowner.net> to plaintiff on May 13, 2010. Go Daddy 15 denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 52 of the Complaint. 16 53. Go Daddy admits that it was the registrar of the domain name cpetronastowers.net>. 17 Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 53 of the Complaint. 18 54. Go Daddy admits that it was contacted on July 7, 2010 concerning the domain name 19 <petronastowers.net>. Go Daddy admits that it sent an e-mail message concerning the domain 20 name <petronastowers.net> on July 8, 2010. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth 21 in paragraph 54 of the Complaint. 22 55. Go Daddy admits that plaintiff filed an in rem action against the domain name 23 <petronastowers.net> on July 12, 2010, and that the *in rem* action resulted in an order transferring 24 the domain name <petronastowers.net> to plaintiff on August 27, 2010. Go Daddy denies the 25 remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 55 of the Complaint.

26 56. Go Daddy admits the allegations set forth in paragraph 56 of the Complaint.

- 57. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 57 of the Complaint.
- 28 58. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 58 of the Complaint.

27

1	COUNT ONE				
2	Cybersquatting Under 15 U.S.C. §1125(d)				
3	59. Go Daddy incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 58,				
4	inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.				
5	60. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in				
6	paragraph 60 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.				
7	61. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in				
8	paragraph 61 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.				
9	62. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in				
10	paragraph 62 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.				
11	63. Go Daddy denies the allegations as set forth in paragraph 63 of the Complaint.				
12	64. Go Daddy denies the allegations as set forth in paragraph 64 of the Complaint.				
13	65. Go Daddy denies the allegations as set forth in paragraph 65 of the Complaint.				
14	66. Go Daddy denies the allegations as set forth in paragraph 66 of the Complaint				
15	67. Go Daddy denies the allegations as set forth in paragraph 67 of the Complaint.				
16	68. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in				
17	paragraph 68 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them.				
18	69. Go Daddy admits that it does not charge a fee for its domain name forwarding service.				
19	Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 69 of the Complaint.				
20	70. Go Daddy admits that it charges registrants in general, and the registrant of the				
21	<pre><petronastower.net> and <petronastowers.net> domain names in particular, a standard registration</petronastowers.net></petronastower.net></pre>				
22	fee that does not relate in any way to any trademark rights of plaintiff or anyone else. Go Daddy				
23	denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 70 of the Complaint.				
24	71. Go Daddy admits that every year hundreds of proceedings under the Uniform Domain				
25	Name Dispute Resolution Policy have been filed concerning the domain names that are registered				
26	with Go Daddy. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 71.				
27	72. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 72 of the Complaint.				
28	73. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 73 of the Complaint.				
	-7-				
	AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNTER AND PO03015 Case No: 09-CV-5939 PJH				

1 74. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 74 of the Complaint. 2 75. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 75 of the Complaint. 3 76. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 76 of the Complaint. 4 COUNT TWO 5 **Contributory Liability for Cybersquatting** 6 77. Go Daddy incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 76, 7 inclusive, as through fully set forth herein. 8 78. Go Daddy lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations as set forth in 9 paragraph 78 of the Complaint and on that basis denies them. 10 79. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 79 of the Complaint. 11 80. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 80 of the Complaint. 12 81. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 81 of the Complaint. 13 82. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 82 of the Complaint. 14 83. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 83 of the Complaint. 84. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 84 of the Complaint. 15 16 85. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 85 of the Complaint. 17 86. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 86 of the Complaint. 87. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 87 of the Complaint. 18 19 88. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 88 of the Complaint. 20 89. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 89 of the Complaint. 21 90. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 90 of the Complaint. 22 91. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 91 of the Complaint. 23 92. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 92 of the Complaint. 24 93. Go Daddy admits that it does not claim ownership of the PETRONAS trademark. Go 25 Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in paragraph 93 of the Complaint. 26 94. Go Daddy admits that its legal name is not incorporated in the <petronastower.net> or 27 <petronastowers.net> domain names. Go Daddy denies the remaining allegations set forth in 28 paragraph 94 of the Complaint.

	Case4:09-cv-05939-PJH Document106 Filed07/28/11 Page9 of 16				
1	95. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 95 of the Complaint.				
2	96. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 96 of the Complaint.				
3	COUNT THREE				
4	Unfair Competition under California Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200				
5	and California Common Law				
6	97. Go Daddy incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 96,				
7	inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.				
8	98. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 98 of the Complaint.				
9	99. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 99 of the Complaint.				
10	100. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 100 of the Complaint.				
11	101. Go Daddy denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 101 of the Complaint.				
12	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES				
13	Go Daddy alleges the following affirmative and other defenses, reserving the right to				
14	modify, amend, and/or expand upon these defenses as discovery proceeds.				
15	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
16	102. The Complaint, and each claim asserted within it, fails to state a claim upon which				
17	relief can be granted.				
18	SECOND AFFIRMATION DEFENSE				
19	103. The Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the Lanham Act safe harbor for				
20	domain name registrars. 15 U.S.C. §1114.				
21	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
22	104. The Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the equitable doctrines of waiver,				
23	estoppel and laches. On information and belief, the domain names at issue were registered in				
24	2003, and have pointed to pornographic content since that time. On information and belief,				
25	Petronas waited until 2009 to take any action with regard to one of the domain names at issue and				
26	waited until 2010 to take action with regard to the other domain name at issue.				
27					
28					
	-9- AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNCEPPAPP003017				

Case No: 09-CV-5939 PJH

1 2

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

105. The Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of acquiescence. On
information and belief, Petronas did not take any action with regard to the domain names at issue
for approximately six years and thereby acquiesced and forfeited any right to complain about the
conduct that forms the basis for its allegations.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

7 106. The Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by failure to bring this action within the
8 time allowed under the applicable the statute of limitation(s). *See, e.g.*, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §
9 17208.

10

6

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

11 107. The Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the defense of misrepresentation of 12 material facts. The Complaint contains numerous factually inaccurate allegations, including, *inter* 13 *alia*, that "GoDaddy provides its 'domain name forwarding' service to registrants who registered 14 their domain names with registrars other than GoDaddy." Complaint ¶ 30. In addition, on 15 information and belief, Plaintiff has made false or improper representations with the intent to

16 induce the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to issue a trademark registration.

17

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

18 108. Plaintiff's alleged trademark is invalid and therefore cannot support Plaintiff's claims
19 because, on information and belief, Plaintiff has abandoned the alleged mark, has never used it in
20 the United States, or otherwise does not have valid United States trademark rights in the alleged
21 mark. Plaintiff's alleged trademark registration is invalid for the reasons set forth in the below
22 counterclaim and therefore cannot support Plaintiff's claims.

23

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

24 109. Plaintiff lacks standing to bring these claims because it does not possess valid United
25 States trademark rights in the alleged trademark.

26

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

27 110. The Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the failure of Plaintiff to mitigate
28 damages, if any, by waiting approximately six years to take action with regard to the domains at

AMENDED ANSWER AND COUN**OPPAPP003018** Case No: 09-CV-5939 PJH

1	issue and choosing not to seek transfer of the domains at issue by the fastest available means,				
2	including a Uniform Domain-Name Dispute-Resolution Policy proceeding before an arbitrator				
3	accredited by the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers, which proceeding				
4	would typically have been resolved far faster than the proceedings Plaintiff chose to pursue.				
5	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
6	111. The Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the failure of Plaintiff to join an				
7	indispensable party as defendant in this action, including the domain name registrant, the company				
8	responsible for hosting the alleged website content, and anyone else that may be involved in the				
9	operation of the alleged websites.				
10	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
11	112. The Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the equitable doctrine of unclean				
12	hands. On information and belief, Plaintiff has made false or improper representations with the				
13	intent to induce the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to issue a trademark registration. On				
14	information and belief, Plaintiff has wielded this registration against Go Daddy in this action				
15	while knowing that it is invalid, in whole or in part. As set forth below, the alleged trademark				
16	registration is therefore subject to cancellation or partial cancellation.				
17	COUNTERCLAIM FOR CANCELLATION				
18	Go Daddy hereby counterclaims against Petronas:				
19	JURISDICTION AND VENUE				
20	1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C.				
21	§§ 1331 and 1338(a), as this action arises under the trademark laws of the United States.				
22	2. Venue is proper and should be maintained in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.				
23	INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT				
24	3. This action is an intellectual property action. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(c), it				
25	may be assigned on a district-wide basis. This Counterclaim, however, is properly filed in the				
26	Oakland Division, because the original Complaint was assigned in this Division and the case is				
27	currently pending in this Division.				
28					
	-11- AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNTER PAPP003019				

1 THE PARTIES 2 4. Based on the allegations in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, Go Daddy is informed and believes and therefore alleges that Counterclaim Defendant Petronas is a Malaysian corporation 3 4 with its principal place of business in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. 5 5. Counterclaimant Go Daddy is an Arizona corporation with its principal place of business in Scottsdale, Arizona. 6 7 COUNTERCLAIM 6. Based on the allegations in Paragraph 60 of the Complaint, Petronas claims to be the 8 9 owner of United States Trademark Registration No. 2,969,707 for PETRONAS & Design (the "Petronas Registration"). Petronas claims that the Petronas Registration is outstanding and valid. 10 7. Based on public information made available by the United States Patent and 11 12 Trademark Office, the Petronas Registration lists the following goods and services: 13 International Class: 001 Goods & Services: Chemicals and petrochemicals for use in the manufacture of 14 pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, detergents, packaging, wire and cable installation, cassette tapes, pipes, toys, films, floorings, synthetic 15 rubber, paints and coatings, adhesives, fuel additives and lubricants, textiles, agriculture, electrical and electronic components, 16 automotive parts, aerospace and aviation, building and construction 17 materials, plastics, foods, and diagnostic equipment; chemical and petrochemical in the nature of methanol, ethylene, methyl tertiary 18 butyl ether (MTBE), vinyl chloride monomer, polyvinyl chloride, polyethylene, polypropylene, propylene, ethyl benzene, and styrene 19 monomer all for use in industrial, forestry, agricultural, horticultural, and scientific applications; photographic chemicals; artificial and 20 synthetic resins for use in the manufacture of fibers, polymers and 21 coatings, and molding compounds; plastic molding compounds for use in plastic extrusion operations plastic molding compounds for 22 use the manufacture of molded plastic articles and plastic sheets and films; composts; manures; fertilizers for agricultural and domestic 23 use; glue for industrial purposes, adhesives for general industrial use, contact cements; gases for heating, lighting, steam generating, 24 cooking, refrigeration, drying and ventilating for industrial use in liquid and gaseous forms; oil dispersants; chemicals for separating 25 oils; hydraulic fluids for general use; chemical additives for use in 26 the manufacture of fuels, lubricants, gasoline and drilling lubricants; drilling muds for use in oil well drilling, coolants for vehicle 27 engines, heat transfer fluids for industrial use, hydraulic fluids for general use, acidulated water for recharging accumulators and 28 batteries; chemical additives for use with internal combustion -12-AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNCEPAPP003020 Case No: 09-CV-5939 PJH

	Case4:09-cv-05939-PJH Document106 Filed07/28/11 Page13 of 16				
1 2 3 4 5	 engines. chemical additives for fuel saving treatments, catalysts for use in the oil processing industry, oil for preservation of masonry. International Class: 004 Goods & Services: Transmission fluids, cutting oil for industrial metalworking, Crude oil, natural gasoline, fuel oil and general purpose greases, all purpose lubricants; gasoline; fuel gas; fuel oils; fuel alcohol; diesel fuel; gas; gasoline as lubricant; kerosene, unleaded fuel; oil gas; paraffin; petroleum; petroleum ether, fuels in liquid, gaseous and solid form, dust lying and absorbing compositions for use on 				
6 7 8 9 10 11	 unpaved roads; non-chemical additives for oils and fuels; petroleum jelly for industrial purposes, tallow, automatic transmission fluids; methanol petrochemicals for use in fuel, petroleum based dust suppressing compositions for use in manufacture International Class: 011 Goods & Services: Air conditioners, water distillation units, flares, gas and petrol burners for industrial purposes; gas regulators, heat exchangers, heat pumps, pasteurizers for use in food and beverage industry; electric radiators not for motors or engines, solar collectors for heating solar furnaces, water filters 				
8. On December 28 2001, Petronas filed an application for registration of the					
14	PETRONAS & Design mark with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (the "Petronas				
14	Application"). The Petronas Application eventually matured into the Petronas Registration. The				
15	Petronas Application stated Petronas' bona fide intent to use the PETRONAS & Design mark in				
10	connection with each of the goods and services in the application.				
17	9. On information and belief, Petronas did not, in fact, have a bona fide intent to use the				
10	PETRONAS & Design mark in connection with each of the goods and services in the Petronas				
20	Application.				
20	10. On information and belief, Petronas has not used the PETRONAS & Design mark in				
$\begin{bmatrix} 21\\22 \end{bmatrix}$	connection with all of the goods and services in the Petronas Registration.				
22	11. On information and belief, Petronas has ceased use of the PETRONAS & Design				
24	mark in connection with some or all of the goods and services in the Petronas Registration.				
25	12. On information and belief, Petronas has no intent to resume use of the PETRONAS &				
26	Design mark in connection with all of the goods and services in the Petronas Registration.				
27	13. On information and belief, Petronas has abandoned the PETRONAS & Design mark,				
28	in whole or in part.				
	-13-				
	AMENDED ANSWER AND COUNTERPARP003021 Case No: 09-CV-5939 PJH				

1	14. The Petronas Application was made pursuant to Section 44 of the Lanham Act, 15					
2	U.S.C. § 1126, claiming a registration for the PETRONAS & Design mark in Petronas' country of					
3	origin.					
4	15. Petronas was required to submit to the USPTO a registration or registrations for the					
5	PETRONAS & Design mark in Petronas' country of origin, with the foreign registration(s)					
6	covering all or substantially all of the goods and services in its U.S. trademark application.					
7	16. Petronas submitted registrations for the PETRONAS & Design mark in Petronas'					
8	country of origin to the USPTO.					
9	17. The registrations submitted by Petronas to the USPTO are considerably more limited					
10	and narrow than, and thus do not support, the Petronas Registration.					
11	18. For the reasons above, the Petronas Registration was issued improperly and is invalid.					
12	19. Go Daddy has been damaged and will likely continue to be damaged by the Petronas					
13	Registration, as Petronas is relying on that registration as a basis for this action for cybersquatting					
14	and other related claims.					
15	20. Accordingly, Go Daddy is entitled to an order from this Court canceling the Petronas					
16	Registration, in whole or in part.					
1 -	DD AVED EAD DEI IEE					
17	PRAYER FOR RELIEF					
17 18	WHEREFORE, Go Daddy prays for judgment in its favor as follows:					
18						
18 19	WHEREFORE, Go Daddy prays for judgment in its favor as follows:					
18 19 20	WHEREFORE, Go Daddy prays for judgment in its favor as follows: a. That the Court deny the Complaint in its entirety, with prejudice, and					
18 19 20 21	WHEREFORE, Go Daddy prays for judgment in its favor as follows:a. That the Court deny the Complaint in its entirety, with prejudice, and specifically deny each and every prayer for relief contained therein;					
18 19 20 21 22	 WHEREFORE, Go Daddy prays for judgment in its favor as follows: a. That the Court deny the Complaint in its entirety, with prejudice, and specifically deny each and every prayer for relief contained therein; b. That the Court award Go Daddy its reasonable costs, disbursements, and 					
 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 WHEREFORE, Go Daddy prays for judgment in its favor as follows: a. That the Court deny the Complaint in its entirety, with prejudice, and specifically deny each and every prayer for relief contained therein; b. That the Court award Go Daddy its reasonable costs, disbursements, and attorneys' fees incurred in this action, to the extent permitted by law, including but not limited to 					
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	 WHEREFORE, Go Daddy prays for judgment in its favor as follows: a. That the Court deny the Complaint in its entirety, with prejudice, and specifically deny each and every prayer for relief contained therein; b. That the Court award Go Daddy its reasonable costs, disbursements, and attorneys' fees incurred in this action, to the extent permitted by law, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, 28 U.S.C. § 1927, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 11; 					
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	 WHEREFORE, Go Daddy prays for judgment in its favor as follows: a. That the Court deny the Complaint in its entirety, with prejudice, and specifically deny each and every prayer for relief contained therein; b. That the Court award Go Daddy its reasonable costs, disbursements, and attorneys' fees incurred in this action, to the extent permitted by law, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, 28 U.S.C. § 1927, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 11; c. That the Court order the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to 					
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	 WHEREFORE, Go Daddy prays for judgment in its favor as follows: a. That the Court deny the Complaint in its entirety, with prejudice, and specifically deny each and every prayer for relief contained therein; b. That the Court award Go Daddy its reasonable costs, disbursements, and attorneys' fees incurred in this action, to the extent permitted by law, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, 28 U.S.C. § 1927, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 11; c. That the Court order the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to cancel Petronas' United States Trademark Registration No. 2,969,707 for PETRONAS & Design; 					
	 WHEREFORE, Go Daddy prays for judgment in its favor as follows: a. That the Court deny the Complaint in its entirety, with prejudice, and specifically deny each and every prayer for relief contained therein; b. That the Court award Go Daddy its reasonable costs, disbursements, and attorneys' fees incurred in this action, to the extent permitted by law, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, 28 U.S.C. § 1927, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 11; c. That the Court order the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to cancel Petronas' United States Trademark Registration No. 2,969,707 for PETRONAS & Design; and 					
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	 WHEREFORE, Go Daddy prays for judgment in its favor as follows: a. That the Court deny the Complaint in its entirety, with prejudice, and specifically deny each and every prayer for relief contained therein; b. That the Court award Go Daddy its reasonable costs, disbursements, and attorneys' fees incurred in this action, to the extent permitted by law, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, 28 U.S.C. § 1927, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 11; c. That the Court order the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office to cancel Petronas' United States Trademark Registration No. 2,969,707 for PETRONAS & Design; and d. That the Court grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and 					

	Case4:09-cv-05939-PJH	Document106	Filed07/28/11	Page15 of 16
1 2	Dated: June 30, 2011		WILSON SONS Professional Cor	INI GOODRICH & ROSATI poration
3				
4			By: <u>/s/ John L.</u>	
5			John L. Sla David H. H Hollis Bet	afsky Kramer h Hire
6			Attorneys for De	fendant
7			Attorneys for De GoDaddy.com, In	nc.
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	AMENDED ANSWER AND COUN		5-	
	AMENDED ANSWER AND COUN Case No: 09-CV-5939 PJH	JPPAPPUU	5023	

	Case4:09-cv-05939-PJH Docu	ument106	Filed	07/28/11	Page16 of 16
1	DEN	MAND FOR	R JUR	Y TRIAL	
2					s action pursuant to Federal Rule
3	of Civil Procedure 38 and Civil L.R.	3-6.			
4					
5	Dated: June 30, 2011				INI GOODRICH & ROSATI
6			Profes	sional Cor	poration
7			By:	/s/ Iohn I	Slafsky
8			<u> </u>	/s/ John L John L. Sl David H.	afsky Kramer
9				Hollis Bet	
10			Attorr GoDa	neys for De ddy.com, I	efendant Inc.
11					
12					
13					
14 15					
15					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Case No: 09-CV-5939 PJH OPP	APP003	024		