1 2	PERRY R. CLARK, State Bar No. 197101 Law Offices of Perry R. Clark 3457 Cowper St.	
3	Palo Alto, CA 94306 Telephone: (650) 248-5817 Facsimile: (650) 618 8533	
4	perry@perryclarklaw.com	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff PETROLIAM NASIONAL BERHAD	
6	(PETRONAS)	
7		
8		S DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA
9		
10	PETROLIAM NASIONAL BERHAD,) CASE NO.: 09-CV-5939 PJH
11	Plaintiff,)
12	vs.) UNOPPOSED AMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR ORDER RELATING
13	GODADDY.COM, INC.,) CASES
14	Defendant.)
15))
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	UNOPPOSED AMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR ORDE CASE NO.: 09-CV-5939 PJH	ER RELATING CASES

1	NOTICE OF MOTION	
2	TO ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL OF RCORD: Please take notice that pursuant to	
3	Civil Local Rule 7-11 Plaintiff Petroliam Nasional Berhad ("Plaintiff" or "Petronas") hereby	
4	moves this Court to consider whether this action is related to Case No. 10-CV-03052 EDL as	
5	defined in Civil L.R. 3-12(a).	
6	MOTION FOR ORDER RELATING CASES	
7	Plaintiff Petronas requests that this Court issue an order relating this action to Case No. 10-	
8	CV-03052 EDL. Defendant GoDaddy does not oppose this motion.	
9	Both of these actions concern the trademark rights of Plaintiff Petronas in connection with	
10	certain domain names registered by a third party with Defendant GoDaddy.	
11	In this action—which was filed first—Plaintiff Petronas alleges, among other things, that	
12	the domain name "PETRONASTOWER.NET" infringes its federally registered trademark	
13	"PETRONAS" and that Defendant GoDaddy is liable as a contributory infringer for its role in	
14	maintaining the registration of and refusing to disable the domain name. ¹ Plaintiff Petronas also	
15	believes that Defendant GoDaddy's maintenance of and refusal to disable the domain name	
16	"PETRONASTOWERS.NET" support its claims in this action.	
17	In the later filed case, Case No. 10-CV-03052 EDL, Plaintiff Petronas seeks a judgment in	
18	rem under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(D) transferring the "PETRONASTOWERS.NET" domain name to	
19	Plaintiff Petronas. Although GoDaddy is not a defendant in that case, GoDaddy is (or will soon	
20	be) required under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(D) to deposit certain information with the Court regarding its	
21		
22		
23	¹ On March 26, 2010, the Court issued a stipulated order (Docket No. 34) relating this case to Case No. 10-CV-00431 EMC, which also dealt with the domain name	
24	"PETRONASTOWER.NET" and which was terminated with the issuance of a final judgment (Docket No. 15) on June 15, 2010.	
25	-2-	
	-2- UNOPPOSED AMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR ORDER RELATING CASES CASE NO.: 09-CV-5939 PJH	

1		
1	control and authority over the disposition of the "PETRONASTOWERS.NET" domain name due	
2	to GoDaddy's position as the domain name registrar.	
3	A proposed stipulated order deeming this case related to Case No. 10-CV-03052 EDL has	
4	been lodged and filed concurrently with this motion as required by Civil L.R. 7-12.	
5	Dated: July 20, 2010LAW OFFICES OF PERRY R. CLARK	
6		
7	By: /s/ Perry R. Clark .	
8	Perry R. Clark Attorney for Plaintiff	
9	PETROLIAM NASIONAL BERHAD	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	-3-	
	UNOPPOSED AMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR ORDER RELATING CASES CASE NO.: 09-CV-5939 PJH	