1 2 3 4 5 6 7	JOHN L. SLAFSKY, State Bar No. 195513 DAVID H. KRAMER, State Bar No. 168452 HOLLIS BETH HIRE, State Bar No. 203651 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 493-6811 jslafsky@wsgr.com dkramer@wsgr.com hhire@wsgr.com
8	Attorneys for Defendant GoDaddy.com, Inc.
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	·
12) CASE NO.: 09-CV-5939 PJH Petroliam Nasional Berhad,
13	DECLARATION OF HOLLIS HIRE Plaintiff, IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S
14	ys.) MOTION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-3 TO POSTPONE HEARING
15	ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE CERTAIN AFFIRMATIVE
16	GoDaddy.com, Inc., DEFENSES DEFENSES
17 18	Defendant.) JUDGE: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton
19	I, Hollis Beth Hire, declare:
20	1. I am an attorney admitted to practice law before this Court, and am an associate at
21	the law firm of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, P.C., counsel for Defendant GoDaddy.com,
22	Inc. ("Go Daddy"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration.
23	2. I have attached as Exhibit A a true and correct copy of an e-mail message sent to
24	Perry Clark, counsel for Plaintiff Petroliam Nasional Berhad ("Plaintiff") at 8:35 a.m. on August
25	30, 2010. The message requested consent for postponement of the hearing date for the Motion to
26	Strike. I did not receive any response to this message.
27	3. Pursuant to Local Rule 6-3, I declare the following:
28	HIRE DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO POSTPONE HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE CERTAIN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES Case No: 09-CV-5939 PJH

- The schedule modifications in this case include:
 - On two occasions, the parties stipulated to an extension of time to respond to the Complaint, for a total of 60 days.
 - The parties also stipulated to continue the second Case Management Conference in this case by one week to accommodate a personal scheduling conflict of Mr. Clark, counsel for Plaintiff.
- Go Daddy seeks the enlargement of time to avoid unnecessary expense in responding to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike, filed 167 days following the Answer and while a Dispositive Motion is pending. If Go Daddy's Dispositive Motion is granted, then the parties (and the Court) would have devoted resources to the belated Motion to Strike unnecessarily, as the decision on Dispositive Motion may render the Motion to Strike moot. If Go Daddy's request for an Order Finding Plaintiff Liable for Attorneys' Fees is granted, such mitigation of expense would be to the benefit of Plaintiff as well.
- The proposed time modification would not alter the schedule for the case, as no

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Palo

Case No: 09-CV-5939 PJH