Dockets.Justia.com

1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	
6	
7	SHANNON O. MURPHY,
8	Plaintiff, No. C 10-0540 PJH
9	v. ORDER OF DISMISSAL
10	DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, et al.,
11	Defendants.
12	·/
13	On March 2, 2010, this court dismissed without prejudice plaintiff's complaint and
14	application to proceed in forma pauperis. Plaintiff was advised to file an amended
15	complaint no later than April 1, 2010 or the case would be dismissed with prejudice.
16	Plaintiff failed to file an amended complaint by that date.
17	The court has considered the five factors set forth in Malone v. United States Postal
18	Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987), and has determined that notwithstanding the
19	public policy favoring the disposition of actions on their merits, the court's need to manage
20	its docket and the public interest in the expeditious resolution of the instant litigation require
21	dismissal of this action. In view of plaintiff's lack of response to this court's prior order, the
22	court finds there is no appropriate less drastic sanction.
23	Accordingly, this action is DISMISSED with prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Pro.
24	41(b) for plaintiff's failure to prosecute.
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Dated: April 5, 2010
27	PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge
28	