

reconsideration of the court's September 7, 2010, order granting the motion of defendant
Marine Terminals Corporation ("MTC") to dismiss the claims asserted against it, without
leave to amend. Plaintiff claims that the court did not consider that Italia Marittima's
indemnity claims against MTC did not accrue until Italia Marittima made payment or
judgment was affixed, and that Italia Marittima should be permitted leave to amend its
claims against MTC (just as it was permitted leave to amend its claims against Seaside
Transportation Services LLC ("Seaside") on the same theory).

As the court noted in the September 7, 2010 order, the complaint does not mention indemnity. However, as to Seaside, Italia Marittima did mention in its opposition to the motion to dismiss that it was proceeding under theories of indemnity and contribution. On that rather thin basis, the court agreed to permit Italia Marittima to amend its claims against Seaside. However, Italia Marittima did not make the same assertion in its opposition to MTC's motion. Moreover, it is the court's recollection that the discussion at the hearing regarding indemnity was in the context of Italia Marittima's opposition to Seaside's motion,
 not its opposition to MTC's.

The court will consider granting the motion for reconsideration only if Italia Marittima
can establish that it previously asserted that its claims against MTC were based on an
indemnity theory.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 29, 2010

PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge