UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AT&T INTELL L.P., et al.	ECTUAL PROPERTY I, CASE NO. 4:10-CV-01059-SBA	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 4.10-CY-01037-3BA
TIVO INC.	V.	STIPULATION AND REPORTED ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	•	e met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the vil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	gree to participate in the	e following ADR process:
Cour	t Processes: Non-binding Arbitrat Early Neutral Evaluat Mediation (ADR L.R	tion (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
appreciably n	nore likely to meet their conference and may no	arly settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is r needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an t file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ocal Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Priva ✓	te Process: Private ADR (please	identify process and provider) The parties will compile a short
	_	e on a mediator, time, and date for private mediation in this matter.
The parties as	referring the case to o	ession by: line (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.) ine Parties to determine appropriate D/L for this case and submit w/docket control orde
Dated: June 3,	2010	/s/ Kevin Cadwell Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: June 3,	2010	/s/ Ellison Turner Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

KAROROSENDE ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
Mediation
X Private ADR

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 6/4/10

- Sambre B. Ormstag

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE