1		
2	NOT FOR CITATION	
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	EUREKA DIVISION	
8		
9	DALE CHUNG,	No. CV 10-1099 PJH (NJV)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANT TO FILE ITS RESPONSE TO MOTION TO
11	v.	COMPEL AND FOR SANCTIONS (Docket No. 21)
12 13	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.,	(Docket No. 21)
13	Defendants/	
15		
16	The district court has referred Plaintiff's motion to compel Defendant City and County of	
17	San Francisco's responses to Interrogatories Nos. 1-5 (Set One) and for sanctions to this Court for	
18	determination. Doc. Nos. 21 & 25. Plaintiff filed his motion on January 18, 2011. Defendant has	
19	not filed its response and it is long overdue. The Court orders Defendant to file its response to	
20	Plaintiff's motion by close of business on March 4, 2011. The Court will interpret the failure to	
21	respond as Defendant's non-opposition to the motion.	
22		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	1 ~ 1
24		Ma
25	Dated: February 28, 2011	NANDOR J. VADAS United States Magistrate Judge
26		
27		
28		