1	MELINDA S. RIECHERT, State Bar No. 65504		
2	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 2 Palo Alto Square		
3	3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2122		
	Tel: 650.843.4000		
4	Fax: 650.843.4001 Email: mriechert@morganlewis.com		
5	C C	241202	
6	MEGAN BARRY BOROVICKA, State Bar MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower	No. 241205	
7	San Francisco, CA 94105-1126		
8	Tel: 415.442.1000 Fax: 415.442.1001		
9	Email: mborovicka@morganlewis.com		
-	Attorneys for Defendants,		
10	Northwestern Investment Management Comp and Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Cor		
11	JOHN A. MCGUINN, State Bar No. 036047		
12	CAROLYN A. LEARY, State Bar No. 226845 McGuinn, Hillsman & Palefsky		
13	535 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, CA 94133		
14	Tel. 415-421-9292 Fax. 415-403-0202		
15	Email: jamcguinn@mhpsf.com Email: cleary@mhpsf.com		
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff,		
17	Laurie Gibbs Harris		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	LAURIE GIBBS HARRIS,	Case No. 10-01763 CW	
	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER	
21	vs.	CONTINUING HEARING ON DEFENDANTS' SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
22	NORTHWESTERN INVESTMENT	MOTION AND TRIAL DATE	
23	MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC, NORTHWESTERN MUTUAL LIFE	[Current Trial Date: October 3, 2011]	
24	INSURANCE COMPANY, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,		
25	Defendants.		
26 Morgan, Lewis &			
BOCKIUS LLP27		STIPULATED AND [P ROPOSED] ORDER	
Palo Alto	DB2/ 22496897.1	CASE NO. C 10-01763 CW	
-			

1	Plaintiff Laurie Gibbs Harris ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Northwestern Investment	
2	Management Company, LLC and Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company ("Defendants"),	
3	the parties to the above-entitled action (collectively, the "Parties), submit this stipulation to the	
4	Court:	
5	STIPULATION	
6	WHEREAS, on April 26, 2011, the Court granted the Parties' <i>Stipulation</i> and scheduled	
7	June 30, 2011 as the last day by which the Court must hear all case-dispositive motions and the	
8	date of the next Case Management Conference in this matter;	
9	WHEREAS, the parties have not otherwise sought and the Court has not ordered time	
10	modifications;	
11	WHEREAS, on May 26, 2011, Defendants filed and served their Motion for Summary	
12	Judgment, or in the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment and supporting documents, and	
13	noticed hearing on said Motion for June 30, 2011;	
14	WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, Plaintiff's deadline for filing her opposition	
15	to Defendants' Motion was June 9, 2011; ¹	
16	WHEREAS, on June 9, 2011 Plaintiff filed and served her <i>Opposition</i> to Defendants'	
17	Motion, as well as declarations of Laurie Gibbs Harris, John A. McGuinn, and Craig Pratt in	
18	support of her <i>Opposition</i> ;	
19	WHEREAS, Plaintiff's Declaration of John A. McGuinn and Declaration of Craig Pratt,	
20	filed and served on June 9, 2011, did not include complete sets of the exhibits attached to the	
21	declarations as evidence in support of Plaintiff's <i>Opposition</i> ;	
22	WHEREAS, on Monday, June 13, 2011, Plaintiff sent by email at 4:20 p.m. to	
23	Defendants' counsel a courtesy copy of an Amended Opposition and a Supplemental Declaration	
24	of John A. McGuinn;	
25	WHEREAS, today, Tuesday, June 14, 2011, Plaintiff intends to file with the Court her	
26		
27	¹ The deadline for Plaintiff to file her opposition brief was June 9, 2011 pursuant to both the pre-June 2, 2011 Civil	
28 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Local Rules and to the amended Civil Local Rules.	
ATTORNEYS AT LAW		

DB2/ 22496897.1

Palo Alto

1	WHEREAS, today, Tuesday, June 14, 2011, Plaintiff intends to withdraw the declaration
2	of Craig Pratt;
3	WHEREAS, of the documents Plaintiff filed on June 9, 2011, she filed the Declaration of
4	John A. McGuinn under seal and submitted a corresponding administrative motion requesting that
5	the declaration and its exhibits be filed under seal;
6	WHEREAS, the additional documents Plaintiff filed on June 9, 2011 (her Opposition brief
7	and the Declaration of Laurie Gibbs Harris) also contained confidential information pursuant to
8	the Parties' Stipulated Protective Order approved by the Court on November 30, 2010, but were
9	filed without the protections of filing under seal;
10	WHEREAS, today, Tuesday, June 14, 2011, Plaintiff intends to request that all
11	confidential materials be filed under seal (including the Opposition, Amended Opposition,
12	McGuinn Declaration, Supplemental McGuinn Declaration, Harris Declaration) and that
13	documents previously filed on June 9, 2011 be "locked" from public access;
14	WHEREAS, the Parties have met and conferred regarding the above confidentiality issues
15	and amended and supplemental documents, and agree that to avoid prejudice to Defendants,
16	Defendants' should have additional time to respond to Plaintiff's Opposition and supporting
17	papers;
18	WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, Defendants' current deadline for filing
19 20	their reply brief is June 16, 2011; ²
20	WHEREAS, the next available hearing on the Court's calendar after the current hearing
21	date is July 14, 2011;
22	WHEREAS, under the pre-June 2, 2011 Civil Local Rules, applicable with respect to
23 24	Defendants' Motion, if the hearing on the Motion is scheduled for July 14, 2011, Defendants'
24 25	reply brief would be due no later than June 30, 2011;
23 26	WHEREAS, a continuance of the hearing on the Motion alone would not require
20 27	modification to the current October 3, 2011 trial date;
	² The current deadline for Defendants to file their reply brief is June 16, 2011 pursuant to both the pre-June 2, 2011
28 /IS & _P	Civil Local Rules and to the amended Civil Local Rules.

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Attorneys At Law Palo Alto

1 WHEREAS, the Parties engaged in an Early Neutral Evaluation on December 16, 2010; 2 WHEREAS, as part of the meet and confer process in which the Parties have engaged 3 throughout the litigation of this matter following the Early Neutral Evaluation, the Parties have 4 discussed whether it would be fruitful to continue to attempt to resolve this matter through 5 mediation: 6 WHEREAS, the Parties agree that it is in the best interests of their respective clients to 7 pursue mediation at this time, and have confirmed that they may mediate this matter with a 8 neutral third party, Mark S. Rudy of Rudy, Exelrod, Zieff & Lowe LLP; 9 WHEREAS, the Parties have yet been unable to confirm a mediation date with Mr. Rudy, 10 but the Parties anticipate that due to Mr. Rudy's schedule, a mediation date will not be available 11 prior to September 2011; 12 WHEREAS, in light of the above factors, the Parties have agreed to seek a continue of the 13 Motion hearing date, as well as the trial date and other case deadlines connected to the trial date, 14 so that the Parties may attempt to resolve this matter through mediation. 15 THEREFORE, the Parties, through their respective counsel of record, hereby 16 STIPULATE to continue the hearing on Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the 17 Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment to a date subject to the Court's convenience and 18 availability that is approximately one month following the completion of mediation with Mr. 19 Rudy, and that the last day for Defendants to file their reply brief shall be two weeks prior to the 20 hearing. They further stipulate that the Case Management Conference be continued to the same 21 day as the hearing on Defendants' Motion. The Parties further stipulate that the trial date be 22 continued to a date of the Court's convenience and availability that is between three and four 23 months following the hearing on Defendant's Motion, and that additional pre-trial case deadlines 24 be reset in accordance with the rescheduled trial date. The Parties agree to inform the court when 25 they are able to confirm that exact date of their scheduled mediation. 26 IN THE ALTERNATIVE, if the Court does not grant approval to continue the trial date to 27 allow the Parties to pursue mediation, the Parties, through their respective counsel of record, 28

28 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Attorneys At Law Palo Alto

STIPULATED AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. C 10-01763 CW

1			
2	hereby STIPULATE to continue the hearing on Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, or in		
2 3	the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment to July 14, 2011, or thereafter, subject to the Court's		
	convenience and availability, and that the last day for Defendants to file their reply brief shall be		
4	June 30, 2011. They further stipulate that the Case Management Conference be continued to July		
5	14, 2011, and that the deadlines for disclosures of identities and reports of expert witnesses and		
6	for completion of expert discovery be moved by two weeks to July 19, 2011 and August 17,		
7	2011, respectively.		
8	IN THE ALTERNATIVE, should the Court instead desire, despite the Parties stipulation,		
9	to maintain the current hearing date of June 30, 2011, the Parties hereby STIPULATE that the last		
10	day for Defendants to file their reply brief shall be June 22, 2011.		
11	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
12			
13	DATE: June 14, 2011	/s/ Carolyn A. Leary	
14		John A. McGuinn Carolyn A. Leary	
15		McGuinn, Hillsman & Palefsky Attorneys for Plaintiff, Laurie Gibbs Harris	
16		Auomeys for Flammin, Laune Globs Hams	
17	DATE: June 14, 2011	/s/ Megan Barry Borovicka	
18	DITTE. <u>June 11, 2011</u>	Melinda S. Riechert	
19		Megan Barry Borovicka Morgan, Lewis & Bockius	
20		Attorneys for Defendants,	
21		Northwestern Investment Management Company,	
22		LLC and Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 Morgan, Lewis & Boccuus LLP			
BOCKIUS LLP Attorneys At Law Palo Alto		4 STIPULATED AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
2	The hearing on Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Partial		
3	Summary Judgment shall be continued to July 14, 2011, and the last day for Defendants to file		
4	their reply brief shall be June 30, 2011. The Case Management Conference is continued to July		
5	14, 2011. The deadlines for disclosures of identities and reports of expert witnesses and for		
6	completion of expert discovery are scheduled for July 19, 2011 and August 17, 2011,		
7	respectively.		
8			
9	DATE: 6/20/2011		
10	The Honorable Claudia Wilken United States District Judge		
11	ATTESTATION		
12	Pursuant to General Order $45(X)$, I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document		
13	has been obtained from the other signatory.		
14			
15	Dated:June 14, 2011By:/s/ Megan Barry BorovickaMegan Barry Borovicka		
16	Megan Barry Borovicka		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP			
Attorneys At Law Palo Alto	5 STIPULATED AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. C 10-01763 CW		