1 2	ADAM A. LEWIS (CA SBN 88736) (alewis@mofo.com) GRANT L. KIM (Cal. Bar No. 114989)		
3	(gkim@mofo.com) ALISON M. TUCHER (Cal. Bar No. 171363)		
4	(atucher@mofo.com) BARBARA N. BARATH (Cal. Bar No. 268146) (bbarath@mofo.com) MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482		
5			
6			
7	Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs NAUTILUS HYOSUNG AMERICA, INC. and NAUTILUS HYOSUNG INC.		
10		CTDICT COLIDT	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	OAKLAND D	IVISION	
14	NAUTILUS HYOSUNG AMERICA, INC. and	Case No. CV-10-2160-SBA (NJV)	
15	NAUTILUS HYOSUNG INC.,	STIPULATED REQUEST TO	
16	Plaintiffs,	MODIFY CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE AND ORDER	
17	V.	Local Rule 7-1(b)	
18	HANTLE, INC. (fka Hantle USA, Inc.), GENMEGA, INC. (fka Huin, Inc.), WON GEE LEE, and MYUNG WON SUH,	Judge: Hon. Saundra B. Armstrong	
19	Defendants.		
20			
21			
22	WHEREAS, the Court previously granted Plaintiffs' motion to extend the fact discovery		
23	cut-off date until May 18, 2012 and to make related adjustments to other dates, given that the		
24	parties have been discussing settlement and agreed	to defer discovery so as to avoid incurring	
25	litigation costs that would be unnecessary if the case	e is settled (Dkt. 173);	
26	WHEREAS, the parties have exchanged sev	eral drafts of settlement agreements and	
27	believe that they will be able to sign a final settleme	ent agreement within the next several weeks;	
28		Aug IDs as a see 1 October	
	STIPULATED REQUEST TO MODIFY CASE MANAGEMENT SCHEL CASE NO. CV-10-2160-SBA (NJV) sf-3145365	DULE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to continue to defer discovery so as to avoid incurring litigation costs that would be unnecessary if the case is settled;

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that the discovery cut-off and expert disclosure dates should be vacated, so they will not need to engage in discovery while finalizing the settlement;

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that it is premature to set new dates now since this depends on future developments, and there will be no need to set new dates if the settlement is finalized;

WHEREAS, the following extensions have been requested and granted in this case:

- The parties filed a stipulated request to extend the time to file a stipulation or motion regarding the filing of the Second Amended Complaint by one week (Dkt. 49), which was granted by the Court (Dkt. 52);
- The parties filed a stipulated request to extend the time for Defendants Won Gee Lee and Myung Won Suh to answer the Second Amended Complaint (Dkt. 67); after Myung Won Suh filed his Answer at the stipulated time, the parties filed a further stipulated request to extend the time for Won Gee Lee to file his answer (Dkt. 76), which the Court granted (Dkt. 77);
- Plaintiffs filed an unopposed motion seeking a five day extension to submit a joint letter brief concerning whether the Answer of Defendant Won Gee Lee should remain under seal in view of the Court's Order granting in part and denying in part Defendants' Motion for a Protective Order (Dkt. 107), which the Court granted (Dkt. 108);
- Plaintiffs filed a motion to modify the Case Management Schedule on March 20, 2012
   (Dkt. 168), which this Court granted on March 26, 2012 (Dkt. 173)
- The Court granted the parties' stipulated request to extend the deadline for conducting the ENE Session (Dkt. 74, 87); and
- The Court granted the parties' three stipulated requests to extend the deadline for amending pleadings (Dkt. 137, 139, 142).

1	1 NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIP	ULATED and agreed by and between the
2	parties, pursuant to Local Rule 7-1(b) and subject to the Court's approval, that the cut-off dates	
3	for fact and expert discovery and for expert disclosures are vacated. Within four weeks of the	
4	date that this Stipulation is approved by the Court, the parties shall either file a stipulated request	
5	to terminate this action in view of a final settlement agreement among them, or shall submit a	
6	Joint Status Report advising the Court on proposed adjustments to the case management schedule	
7	In addition to stipulating to the above, I, Grant L. Kim, attest that concurrence in the filing	
8	of this Stipulation has been obtained from Matthew H. Poppe, Counsel for Defendants Hantle,	
9	Inc., Won Gee Lee, and Myung Won Suh, and from Michael Li-Ming Wong, Counsel for	
10	Defendant Genmega, Inc.	
11		A A LEXUIC
12	12 GRAN	M A. LEWIS NT L. KIM
13	13 BARE	ON M. TUCHER BARA N. BARATH RISON & FOERSTER LLP
14	14	
15	15 By: _	/s/ Grant L. Kim Grant L. Kim
16	16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs NAUTILUS HYOSUNG, INC.
17	17	NAUTILUS HYOSUNG, INC. NAUTILUS HYOSUNG AMERICA, INC.
18	18	INC.
19	19	
20	20	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	28 ∥	

1 2	Dated: May 17, 2012	MICHAEL LI-MING WONG THAD A. DAVIS ROCKY C. TSAI ROPES & GRAY LLP
3		
4		By: /s/ Rocky C. Tsai [as authorized] Rocky C. Tsai
5		Attorneys for Defendant GENMEGA, INC.
6	Dated: May 17, 2012	MATTHEW H. POPPE
7	,	KRISTIN S. CORNUELLE SARAH C. MARRIOTT
8		JACOB A. SNOW ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE
9		LLP
10		By: /s/ Sarah C. Marriott_[as authorized] Sarah C. Marriott
11		Attorneys for Defendants
12		HANTLE, INC. [fka Hantle USA, Inc.] WON GEE LEE
13		MYUNG WON SUH
14		
14 15		Order
	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, I	
15		
15 16	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, I Dated:5/18/12	
15 16 17		IT IS SO ORDERED.  B. Samble B. Ormskag
15 16 17 18		IT IS SO ORDERED.  B. Samble B. Ormskag
15 16 17 18 19		IT IS SO ORDERED.  B. Samble B. Ormskag
15 16 17 18 19 20		IT IS SO ORDERED.  B. Samble B. Ormskag
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		IT IS SO ORDERED.  B. Samble B. Ormskag
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		IT IS SO ORDERED.  B. Samble B. Ormskag
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		IT IS SO ORDERED.  B. Samble B. Ormskag
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		IT IS SO ORDERED.  B. Samble B. Ormskag
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		IT IS SO ORDERED.  B. Samble B. Ormskag